ACTION PLAN for the implementation of the Justice Sector Reform Strategy for the years 2011-2016

PILLAR I. The Judicial System

Specific objective: Strengthening the independence, accountability, impartiality, efficiency and transparency of the judicial system

					Strat	tegic	direc	ction	1.1. E	Ensuri	ing a	ccess	ibility	y and	ind	epen	denc	e of	the j	udicia	al sys	tem			
Speci	fic intervention areas								Im	pleme	entat	ion r	miles	tones									Deadlines	Institutions	in charge
court streng capac the nu ensur	Optimizing the map of s' displacement, to gthen institutional cities of courts, optimizing umber of judges and ing a more efficient use of able resources	2. Dra 3. Dis																The year 2016	Ministry of Justi Superior Counci Magistrates						
									Ir	nplen	ienta	tion	dead	line											Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			2	2013			2	2014			20	015			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting the opportunity study for optimizing the map of courts' displacement, to strengthen institutional capacities of courts, optimizing the number of judges and ensuring a more efficient use of available resources																						Study carried out and recommendations developed	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	
2	Develop a draft amending Law no. 514-XIII of 6 July 1995 on judicial organization																						Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	

3	Relocation of courts and optimizing the number of judges									1. Plan for the gradual relocation of the courts developed and implemented 2. Number of courts reorganized 3. Number of judges optimized	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	
Tota	l area funding											214,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.1.2. Ensuring access to justice	1. Analysis and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
in terms of costs	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2012	Superior Council of
	3. The amount and calculation of court expenditures reviewed	-	Magistrates,
	•		Ministry of Finance
			-

									In	nplen	ienta	tion	ı dea	dline									0.4	T 414 41	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	012			20	13			2	2014			2	2015			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study of legislation in force governing the amount and calculation of court expenditures and practices for their implementation																						Study carried out and recommendations developed	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	
2	Develop draft amending the State Charge Law no. 1216-XII of December 3, 1992, the Code of Civil Procedure no. 225-XV of 30 May 2003 and other legislation																						Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, Ministry of Finance	
Total	area funding																								157,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.1.3. Reforming and strengthening the structures	1.Websites of courts operating 2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2016	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of
and systems of interaction with the public	3. Information campaigns on the functioning of the judiciary system		Magistrates, courts

No.									In	ıplem	ien	tation	ı dea	dline									Outcome	Institutions in	Amount
NO.	Action title	2011		20	012			20	013				2014			2	015			20)16				(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop draft amending legislation, including Law no. 514-XIII of 6 July 1995 on judicial organization																						Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	ŕ
2	Conducting training courses for judicial personnel responsible for public relations																						1. Curriculum developed 2. Training schedule developed 3. Number of courses conducted 4. Number of people trained	National Institute of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, courts	
3	Monitoring the websites of the courts																						1. Monitoring mechanism set (with the support of NGOs) 2. Monitoring reports prepared and distributed	Superior Council of Magistrates, Ministry of Justice	
4	Organizing awareness campaigns on the functioning of the judiciary system																						1. Information leaflets about the work of courts, distributed 2. Number of information campaigns on courts activity, covered in the media	Superior Council of Magistrates, Ministry of Justice	
Total	area funding	1																							5185 4
Total	area funding																						media		5185,4

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.1.4. Creating an adequate,	1. Percentage of funding the judicial system established		Ministry of Justice,
consistent and sustainable	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2016	Superior Council of
mechanism for financing the	3. Budgeting process of the courts unified	-	Magistrates,

judiciary system by increasing		courts,
its funding and by unifying the		Ministry of Finance
budgeting process of the		
judiciary system		

									In	ıplen	nent	tatio	n dea	dline									0.4	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011 IV	Ţ)12	IV			113	13.7	ī		2014	IV			015	137		20	16 III	TX 7	Outcome indicators	Institutions in charge	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	1	II	III	IV	1	II	III	IV	1	II	Ш	IV	indicators	chui ge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on financing practices of the judiciary system in recent years, taking into account international funding practices of the judiciary system																						Study carried out and recommendations developed	Superior Council of Magistrates, Supreme Court of Justice, Ministry of Justice	
2	Develop a draft amending Law no. 514-XIII of 6 July 1995 on judicial organization and other relevant draft normative acts																						1. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government 2. Draft amendments to the regulatory framework developed	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, Supreme Court of Justice, courts	
3	Applying a unified budget process in the courts																						1. Objective mechanism for the formation of courts budget, established and applied 2. Objective and transparent budgeting criteria, established and applied	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, Supreme Court of Justice, Ministry of Finance, courts	
Total	area funding																								158,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.1.5. Increasing management	Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		Ministry of Justice,
efficiency and improving the	2. Creating the functions of judicial administrators	The year 2016	courts,
practical and regulatory system	3. The functions of courts' presidents reviewed		Superior Council of

of judicial administration and	4. Curriculum and pla
strategic analysis with regards	5. Initial and continui
to budget planning	6. Training courts per

- plans for initial and continuing training, developed and applied nuing training courses for judicial administrators carried out personnel, responsible for developing and executing the budget, carried out

Magistrates, National Institute of Justice, Ministry of Finance

									In	nplen	nen	tatio	n dea	dline											Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			20	013		I		2014		l	2	015			20)16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
1,00		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop a draft amending legislation, including Law. 514-XIII of 6 July 1995 on judicial organization, aiming to create the functions of judicial administrators and reviewing the functions of the presidents of courts																						Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice	
2	Develop the regulation for organizing the contest to fill the positions of judicial administrators and other regulatory acts																						Regulation and other regulatory acts, developed and approved	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	
3	Optimizing courts staffing scheme, depending on the workload of the last five years and the performance under PIGD module by increasing or reducing the court staff																						Staffing scheme optimized according to the law	Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family, Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, State Chancellery	
4	Develop curriculum for initial and continuing training for judicial administrators																						Curriculum developed and approved	National Institute of Justice	
5	Conducting initial and continuous training courses for judicial administrators																						1. Training schedule developed 2. The number of	National Institute of Justice, Superior	

										initial and continuing training courses carried out 3. Number of trained judicial administrators	Council of Magistrates, Ministry of Justice	
6	Training of personnel responsible for developing and executing the budget of the courts									Number of courses organized	National Institute of Justice, Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Justice	
Total	area funding											2119,5

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.1.6. Establishing clear,	Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice, Superior
objective, transparent and	2. Excluding the initial five years term for the appointment of judges by amending the Constitution	The year 2014	Council of Magistrates
merit-based criteria for the	3. Criteria for selection of judges of the Supreme Court reviewed by amending the Constitution		
procedure of selecting,	4. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		
appointing and promoting	5. New criteria for selecting, appointing and promoting judges developed and adopted		
judges	6. New structures for selecting, appointing and promoting judges, created		

									In	nplen	1ent	tatio	ı dea	dline											Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20)12			20)13			2	2014			2	015			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop the draft amending legislation, including Law no. 544-XIII of 20 July 1995 on the Status of Judges and the Law no. 949-XIII of 19 July 1996 on qualification board and certification of judges, and drafting the law on selection, career development and performance evaluation of judges																						1. Working group created 2. Analysis of legislation carried out and recommendations developed 3. Draft laws prepared and submitted to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	
2	Develop the regulations of																						Regulations	Superior	

	the Superior Council of Magistrates on the selection, promotion and	developed and approved	Council of Magistrates	
	transfer of judges criteria			
3	Establishing the board responsible for selection and career development of judges and the board responsible for evaluating the performance of judges	Boards established and members appointed / elected	Superior Council of Magistrates	
4	Applying the new criteria and procedures for selection, promotion and transfer of judges	Number of judges selected, promoted and transferred under the new criteria and procedures	Superior Council of Magistrates	
5	Conducting a study on the initial term of appointment of judges and the criteria for selecting Supreme Court judges, taking into account international standards and best practices in the field	Study carried out and recommendations developed	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	
6	Develop the draft amending the Constitution in respect of the initial term of appointment of judges and selection of the Supreme Court judges	Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Constitutional Court	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	
Total	area funding			521,2

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.1.7. Unification and ensuring the	Study conducted and recommendations developed		Superior Council of
transparency to the procedure for	2. Draft amendments to the Constitution and legal framework developed and adopted	The year 2014	Magistrates,
appointing court chairmen and	3. New criteria for the selection and appointment of chairmen and deputy chairmen of courts, developed and		Ministry of Justice
deputy chairmen, establishing	adopted		
clear and transparent criteria for	4. The number of deputy chairmen of courts revised		
selecting candidates for these			
positions			

									In	nplen	nent	atior	ı dea	dline											Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20)12			20)13			2	2014			2	015			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	П	Ш	IV	I	П	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
2	Develop a draft amending legislation, including Law no. 514-XIII of 6 July 1995 on judicial organization, in order to unify the procedure for appointment of chairmen and deputy chairmen of courts Develop the Superior Council of Magistrates																						Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government Regulation developed and	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates Superior Council of	
	Regulation on criteria and procedure for the selection and appointment chairmen and deputy chairmen of courts																						approved	Magistrates	
3	Implement new procedures for the selection and appointment of chairmen and deputy chairmen of courts																						Number of chairmen and deputy chairmen selected and appointed under the new procedures	Superior Council of Magistrates	

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.1.8. Review the procedures for	Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice, Superior
relieving, deployment and transfer	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2012	Council of Magistrates
of judges aiming to ensure their	3. Relieving, deployment and transfer of judges procedures reviewed	-	
independence and the observance			
for the separation of powers			
principle			

	A .42 4241.								In	plen	ient	ation	dea	dline									Outcomo	Institutions in	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			2(13			2	014			20	015			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	H	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)

	Develop a draft amending						1. Working group	Ministry of	
	legislation, including Law						created	Justice,	
	no. 544-XIII of 20 July						2. Analysis	Superior	
	1995 on the Status of						carried out and	Council of	
	Judges						recommendations	Magistrates	
							developed		
							3. Bill prepared		
							and submitted for		
							review to the		
							Government		
Total	area funding			•	•				0,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.1.9. Strengthening the	Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
judiciary self-administration by	2. Law amending the Constitution, drafted and adopted	The year 2014	Superior Council of
reviewing the role, composition	3. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	·	Magistrates,
and powers of the Superior	4. Status and capabilities of institutions strengthened		National Institute of Justice
Council of Magistrates and its			
subordinated institutions			

									In	ıplen	ient	tatio	n dea	dline									0.1	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20)12			20	013			2	2014			2	015			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop a draft amending																						Bill prepared and	Ministry of	
	legislation, including Law																						submitted for	Justice	
	no. 947-XIII of 19 July																						review to the		
	1996 on the Superior																						Government		
	Council of Magistrates, to																								
	review the role,																								
	composition and																								
	competences of the																								
	Superior Council of																								
	Magistrates and its																								
	subordinated institutions																								
	and establishing the																								
	powers of the General																								
	Assembly of Judges																								
2	Conducting study on the																						Study carried out	Ministry of	
	Superior Council of																						and	Justice,	
	Magistrates activities,																						recommendations	Superior	
	focused on the regulatory																						developed	Council of	

	mework and its Magistrates	
3	ctical activity velop a draft amending Constitution, for the terialization of the role the Superior Council of agistrates in the iciary self- ministration process, its mposition and powers Bill prepared and submitted for Justice Constitutional Court	
4	velop a draft amending islation necessary to plement amendments to Constitution Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government Government	101.0
[Total	a funding	101,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.1.10. Optimization and	1. Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
consolidation of the legislative	2. Unified framework	The year 2016	Superior Council of
framework on the judicial	3. Bill developed and adopted		Magistrates
system (development of a single			
law governing the judicial			
system)			

									In	nplen	ient	tation	ı dead	dline											Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	012			20)13			2	2014			-	2015			201	6		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conduct a study of international practices in regulating the functioning and organization of the judiciary, to produce a single law																						Study carried out and recommendations developed	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	
2	Develop a draft law on Judicial System																						Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice	
Total	area funding	•																•					•	•	286,3

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge

1.1.11. Strengthening the	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		Ministry of Justice,
security in court premises	2. Security technologies implemented	The year 2014	Superior Council of
	3. Increased security in the courts		Magistrates,
			Ministry of Internal Affairs,
			Ministry of Finance,
			State Chancellery

									I	mple	mei	ntati	on de	adlin	e										Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			20	013				2014			2	2015			2	016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Creating conditions for effective functioning of the judicial police																						1. Separate structure within the Ministry of Interior established and functional 2. Necessary equipment purchased and installed	Ministry of Internal Affairs	
2	Drafting the Government decision on the transfer of judicial police under the Ministry of Justice																						The draft decision of the Government, developed and approved	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Finance, State Chancellery	
3	Installation of access control systems in the courts to ensure their safety																						1. Feasibility study prepared 2. Equipment purchased 3. Systems installed / renovated	Superior Council of Magistrates, courts, Ministry of Justice	
4	Reequipping the courtrooms, to remove the mechanisms for isolating defendants during the trial, to respect the																						Mechanisms isolating defendants removed	Superior Council of Magistrates, courts, Ministry of	

presumption of innocence										Justice	
Total area funding											11415,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.1.12. Strengthening	Feasibility study prepared and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
institutional capacities of	2. Cost estimate prepared	The year 2016	Ministry of Finance,
courts, including examination	3. Palace of Justice and the construction / renovation blueprints of the premises of the courts developed		Ministry of Regional
of the opportunity of building a	4. Courts premises in the country, built / renovated		Development and
common office for all Courts			Construction
from Chisinau, and			
construction / renovation of			
court offices across the country			

									I	mple	mer	ıtatio	on de	adlin	e								_		Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			20	013				2014			2	015			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conduct a feasibility study, taking into account previous studies in this area																						Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	Ministry of Justice	
2	Updating database building courts, including information on their surface, the year the building was set into operation, objective assessment of current condition and allocations from the budget for repairs in the last 5 years																						Database updated	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Finance	
3	Establish criteria for allocation of funds for maintenance and repair of court buildings																						Criteria for the allocation of funds for maintenance and repair of court buildings, developed and approved	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Regional Development and Constructions	
4	Organizing contests for selecting design																						Number of competitions	Ministry of Justice,	

5	Develop construction designs for building / renovation of the premises of the courts					and design institutions selected Building / renovation projects developed	Ministry of Regional Development and Constructions Courts	
6	Develop cost estimates for construction / renovation of court offices					Cost estimates developed	Ministry of Justice, courts	
7	Construction / renovation of court offices					Number of courts built / renovated	Courts	
8	Develop the blueprint of the Palace of Justice and the cost estimate of the project					1. The blueprint of Palace of Justice and estimate costs, developed 2. Construction of Palace of Justice finished	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Regional Development and Constructions	
9	Assigning an office for the Superior Council of Magistrates and financial resources for renovation and roper usage of it					1. Office assigned 2. Renovation project developed 3. Office renovated 4. Furniture and equipment purchased	Superior Council of Magistrates, State Chancellery	
10	Monitoring the quality of construction / renovation works of the offices of the courts					Number of expertise conducted	Courts, State Construction Inspection	
11	Monitoring the setting into operation of premises constructed / renovated, at the stage of finishing and					Number of surveys conducted	Courts, State Construction Inspection	

fin	nal acceptance of works												
Total are	ea funding												439079,8
Total fun	nding strategic direction1	.1											459303,7

	Strategic direction 1.2. Increasing the transparency and efficiency of the judicial syst	em	
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.2.1. Increasing the	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		Ministry of Justice,
transparency of the	2. The Superior Council of Magistrates Regulations, adopted	The year 2016	Superior Council of
mechanisms and institutions of	3. Information on the activity of the judicial authorities, published and updated		Magistrates
judicial self-administration			

									I	mple	mer	ıtatio	on de	adline	e								0.1	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2(012			20)13				2014			2	015			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop drafts amending legislation, including Law no. 947-XIII of 19 July 1996 on the Superior Council of Magistrates and the Law no. 514-XIII of 6 July 1995 on judicial organization, in order to institute the open voting for the decisions of the Superior Council of Magistrates, the obligation to reason and to publish Council decisions, including the separate opinions of its members, on the website etc.																						1. Working group created 2. Analysis carried out and recommendatio ns developed 3. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice	
3	Review the Superior Council of Magistrates regulations on transparency of the activity of the Council and its subordinated institutions Monitoring the						L																Draft amendments to regulations developed and approved 1. Monitoring	Superior Council of Magistrates	

implementation of the													mechanism	Justice	
provisions on transparency													established with		
of the activity of the													the support of		
Superior Council of													non-		
Magistrates and its													governmental		
subordinated institutions													organizations		
													2. Monitoring		
													carried out		
													3. Monitoring		
													reports prepared		
													and published		
													on the website		
													of the Superior		
													Council of		
													Magistrates		
Total area funding											683,7				

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.2.2. Implementation of an e-	1. Evaluation of the functioning of the integrated case management programme (PIGD) and		Ministry of Justice,
justice system for an efficient	recommendations developed	The year 2016	Superior Council of
and functional use of the	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		Magistrates,
judicial information system, to	3. Court information system improved		National Institute of Justice,
exclude the human factor in the	4. The system for the random distribution of cases developed and implemented		courts,
administrative process of case	5. The system for the establishment of the panel of judges and for the appointment of their chairpersons		General Prosecutor's Office,
management	created and implemented		Special Telecommunications
	6. The recording system for audio / video hearings effectively implemented		Center,
	7. Ensuring each court with technical support from PIGD and audio recording equipment necessary for		Center for Electronic
	hearings		Governance
	8. Curriculum for training judges and staff, developed		
	9. Judges and court staff trained		
	10. Full automation of case management process		
	11. Efficient mechanism for verifying the observance of electronic case management process and for		
	sanctioning for deviations, set		

									I	mplei	nen	tatio	n dea	adline	9								0.4	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	012			20)13			2	2014			20)15			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting monitoring																						Monitoring	Ministry of	
	on the functionality of																						carried out and	Justice,	
	integrated case																						recommendatio	Superior	
	management programme																						ns developed	Council of	

	(PIGD)				Magistrates,
					Special
					Telecommunic
					ations Center
2	Develop a draft amending			Bill prepared	Ministry of
	legislation, including Law			and submitted	Justice,
	no. 514-XIII of 6 July			for review to the	Superior
	1995 on judicial			Government	Council of
	organization				Magistrates
3	Preparing amendments to			Draft	Superior
	the Superior Council of			amendments to	Council of
	Magistrates regulations			regulations	Magistrates
	on the functioning of the			developed and	1VIugistiutes
	integrated case			approved	
	management programme			арргочец	
4	Improving integrated case			1. The system	Ministry of
4				for random	Justice,
	management programme				
	for:			distribution of	Superior
	a) ensure random			cases developed	Council of
	distribution of cases;			and	Magistrates,
	b) ensure the			implemented	Special
	establishment of panels of			2. The system	Telecommunic
	judges and the			for the	ations Center
	appointment of their			establishment of	
	chairmen;			the panel of	
	c) create other programs			judges and for	
	to ensure transparency			appointing their	
	and efficiency to the act			chairpersons	
	of justice;			created and	
	d) creating a technical			implemented	
	mechanism for verifying			3. Technical	
	the electronic process of			verification	
	the case management;			mechanism	
	e) inserting the module			created	
	which will protect			4. Personal data	
	personal data			protection	
	·			module included	
				5. Other	
				programs	
				developed and	
				implemented as	
				appropriate	
				арргорпасе	

5	Providing each court with technical support to apply the integrated case management programme	Number of courts receiving technical Superior Support for using the management programme Ministry of Justice, Superior Support for Council of Magistrates, Integrated case management Telecommunic ations Center
6	Providing courts with the required recording audio / video equipment for the courts hearings	1. Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed 2. Necessary audio / video recording equipment for the courts hearings installed 3. The number of audio / video recorded hearings 4. Number of checks on each court and the number of reports prepared by the judicial inspection
7	Conducting a study on the opportunity of writing down the minutes or transcripts of the court hearing and their correlation with audio / video records	1.Study carried out and Justice, recommendatio ns developed Superior 2. Where Council of Appropriate, draft regulation prepared and submitted to the Government
8	Develop the plan for training judicial staff and	Training plan National developed and Institute of

							1 1			1
	judges in the use of							approved	Justice	
	information technologies									
	and integrated case									
	management programme									
9	Conducting training							1. Number of	National	
	courses for judges and							courses carried	Institute of	
	court staff in the use of							out	Justice	
	information technologies							2. Number of		
								people trained		
10	Review the Code of Civil							Bill prepared	Ministry of	
	Procedure no. 225-XV of							and submitted	Justice,	
	30 May 2003, the Code of							for review to the	Superior	
	Criminal Procedure no.							Government	Council of	
	122-XV of 14 March								Magistrates	
	2003 and the Code for									
	Contraventions no. 218-									
	XVI from 24 October									
	2008 to ensure electronic									
	management of cases									
	(producing all procedural									
	documents in electronic									
	format, summoning									
	parties and									
	communicating with									
	parties by fax, email, etc.)									
Total	area funding	, , , , ,	J		1	 l l	<u> </u>			15440,8

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.2.3. Review of procedural	Study conducted and recommendations developed		Superior Council of
rules to optimize, enhance	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2016	Magistrates,
transparency and efficiency of	3. Monitoring report on the functioning of the judiciary system in terms of transparency and efficiency,		Ministry of Justice,
the act of justice	developed and disseminated		National Institute of Justice
	4. Standards on the duration of examination of cases, developed		
	5. Training of judges on case management and rules to postpone the examination of cases, carried out		
	6. Electronic mechanism for checking the duration of examination of cases, developed and implemented		

									I	mple	men	tatio	n dea	adline	e								0.4	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			2()13			- 2	2014			20	15			20)16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop a draft amending																						1. Working	Ministry of	
	the Criminal Procedure																						groups	Justice,	
	Code no. 122-XV of 14																						established	General	

	March 2003, the Code of Civil Procedure No. 225- XV of 30 May 2003 and the administrative review law no. 793-XIV of 10 February 2000									2. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Prosecutor's Office, courts, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Customs Service, Lawyers Union	
2	Develop a draft amending Contravention Code no. 218-XVI from 24 October 2008									1. Working group created 2. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice	
3	Conducting the process of monitoring the functioning of the judiciary system in terms of transparency and efficiency									1. Monitoring methodology, developed 2. Joint working groups for carrying out monitoring, created 3. Monitoring conducted 4. Monitoring report produced and recommendations developed 5. Monitoring report disseminated	Superior Council of Magistrates, Ministry of Justice	
4	Develop standards for the duration the procedural documents during the									1. Standards and methodology developed	Superior Council of Magistrates,	

	examination of the case and developing the methodology for their enforcement								2. Decision of the Plenum of the Supreme Court of Justice on the duration of the procedural documents during the examination of the case, adopted 3. Number of inspections conducted by the judiciary inspection 4. Number of reports produced	Supreme Court of Justice	
5	Develop the plan for training judges on case management and rules to postpone the examination of cases								Training plan developed	Superior Council of Magistrates, National Institute of Justice	
6	Conducting training courses for judges on case management and rules to postpone the examination of cases								1. Schedule of training courses developed and approved 2. Number of courses conducted 3. Number of judges trained	Superior Council of Magistrates, National Institute of Justice	
Total	area funding										257,8

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.2.4. Creating a mechanism	Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
that would ensure the	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2016	Supreme Court of Justice,
uniformity of judicial practice	3. Mechanisms for ensuring uniformity of judicial practice and the observance of the principle of security of		Courts of appeal,
and the observance of the	legal relations, created and effectively implemented		Superior Council of

	4 Fundamental and the intermediated institutions	Magistustas
principle of security of legal	4. Evaluation reports by international institutions	Magistrates
relations		

									I	mple	men	itatio	n dea	adline	2								_		Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20)12			20	013				2014			20)15			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	H	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting the study on the uniformity of judicial practice and ensuring the observance of the principle of security of legal relations																						Study carried out and recommendation s developed	Superior Council of Magistrates, Supreme Court of Justice	
2	Develop a draft amending Law no. 789-XIII of 26 March 1996 on the Supreme Court of Justice, Code of Criminal Procedure no. 122-XV of 14 March 2003 and the Code of Civil Procedure no. 225-XV of 30 May 2003 in respect of the competences of the Supreme Court of Justice																						Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, Supreme Court of Justice	
3	Conducting the study on the opportunity to create a single information portal for all courts to optimize their web pages																						Study carried out and recommendation s developed	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, Center for Electronic Governance	
4	Implementation of recommendations on optimizing web pages of the courts																						1. Web pages optimized 2. Creating a single portal, as appropriate	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, Center for Electronic Governance	

5	Monitoring the											1. Monitoring	Ministry of	
	observance of the											conducted	Justice,	
	principle of security of											2. Monitoring	Superior	
	legal relations											Report prepared	Council of	
												and	Magistrates,	
												disseminated	Supreme	
													Court of	
													Justice	
Total	area funding													225,8

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.2.5. Increased efficiency of the	Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
Procedural Law achieved	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2016	Supreme Court of Justice
through the revision of the	3. The appeal system and competences revised and implemented		
appeal system, distribution of	4. Standardization of common means of appeal		
competences between courts	5. Standardization of the terms of appeal and improved information techniques regarding the court		
along the horizontal axis and by	judgments		
simplifying and clarifying the	6. Limited grounds for extraordinary appeals		
appeal system	7. Analytical Report on the implementation of legislative changes related to the appeal exercise		

									I	mple	men	tatio	n dea	dline)								0.1	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2()12			20	013			2	2014			20)15			2(16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop a draft amending the Code of Criminal Procedure no. 122-XV of 14 March 2003 and the Code of Civil Procedure no. 225-XV of 30 May 2003 in respect of the powers of courts																						Bills drafted and submitted to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office	
2	Monitoring the implementation of legislative changes on appeal exercise																						1. Monitoring conducted 2. Monitoring reports produced	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office	
3	Developing a normative																						Draft regulation	Ministry of	

Tota	l area funding													276,	4
													Justice		
													Court of		
													Supreme		
													Magistrates,		
	Supreme Court of Justice											Government	Council of		
	the composition of the											submitted to the	Superior		
	framework for reviewing											prepared and	Justice,		

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.2.6. Revision of the operation	1. Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
of the instruction judge	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2014	Superior Council of
institution in view of its	3. Continuing training courses conducted		Magistrates,
inclusion into the common Law	4. Setting the procedure for appointment of instruction judges		courts,
judicial body as specialised	5. Analysis of the implementation of amendments and of the way the function of instruction judge is		National Institute of Justice
judges in this respective issue	being exercised		

										Im	plen	nenta	ation	dead	line	;							0.4	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011			2012			2	2013			2	2014			2	015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop draft amending legislation, including Law no. 544-XIII of 20 July 1995 on the status of judges, to include the instruction judge in the common judiciary body as a judge specialized in the respective matters																						1. Working group created 2. Analysis of legislation carried out and recommendatio ns developed 3. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, courts	
2	Performance evaluation of instruction judges																						Performance evaluation conducted	Superior Council of Magistrates	
3	Organizing training courses for the instruction judges that have been assessed																						1. Curriculum developed 2. Number of courses conducted 3. Number of	Superior Council of Magistrates, National Institute of Justice	

	judges	s trained	
4	Developing the Superior Council of Magistrates Regulation on the procedure and conditions of appointment of instruction judges Regulation Regu	oped and Council of	
5	Monitoring the implementation of amendments and the way in which the instruction 1. Mo conduction 1. Mo cond	onitoring Superior Council of Magistrates ts prepared istributed	
Total	area funding	·	895,5
Total	funding strategic direction 1.2		17779,9

	Strategic direction 1.3. Raising professionalism and responsibility of persons involved in add	ministering justi	ce
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.3.1. Reforming and improving the activity of the National Institute of Justice	 Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted The initial and continuos training of judges and prosecutors modified and effectively applied Programmes for the training of trainers from the National Institute of Justice, developed and implemented Requirements towards the trainers from the National Institute of Justice, developed and applied Monitoring report on implementation of the reform of the National Institute of Justice Budget Review of the National Institute of Justice in accordance with its actual needs 	The year 2016	Ministry of Justice, National Institute of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, Superior Council of Prosecutors, unions of liberal professions of the justice sector

										Im	plem	entat	tion d	eadli	ne										Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	2012			2	013			20	014			20	15			2	016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop a new concept of continuous training for judges, prosecutors and other justice sector representatives																						1. Concept developed 2. The number of staff needed to produce the new concept, determined	National Institute of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, Superior Council of	

												Prosecutors,	
												General	
												Prosecutor's	
												Office,	
												Lawyers	
												Union,	
												National	
												Union of	
												Bailiffs,	
												Mediation	
												Council,	
												Ministry of	
												Justice	
2	Develop draft amending										Bill prepared	Ministry of]
	legislation, including Law										and submitted	Justice,	
	no. 152-XVI from June 8,										for review to the	National	
	2006 on the National										Government	Institute of	
	Institute of Justice, Law										30 (3 11111 3 11)	Justice	
	no. 544-XIII of 20 July											sustice	
	1995 on the Status of												
	Judges and the Law no.												
	294-XVI of 25 December												
	2008 on Prosecution												
_			_		-			-			T., (1	NI-411	
3	Develop drafts amending		-								Internal	National	
	internal regulatory		-								regulatory	Institute of	
	framework of the National		-								framework	Justice	
	Institute of Justice in		-								amended		
	compliance with the		-										
	changes in legislation												
4	Creating a legal										Centre	National	
	information center										established and	Institute of	
	accessible to judges,										operational	Justice	
	prosecutors and												
	representatives of other												
	legal professions												
5	Training of trainers for										1. Training	National	
	initial and continuous										plans developed	Institute of	
	training, including in the										2. Number of	Justice	
	field of training										training courses	3 43 1100	
	methodology										conducted		
	memodology										3. Number of		
											trained trainers		

6	Monitoring the implementation of reform of the National Institute of Justice										1.Monitoring conducted 2. Monitoring report prepared and disseminated	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, Superior Council of	
												Prosecutors, General Prosecutor's Office	
7	Complete the reconstruction of the National Institute of Justice premises to ensure proper conditions for the training of judges, prosecutors and other justice sector representatives										1. Reconstruction finalized 2. Hotel building and the Institute café built and equipped	National Institute of Justice	
8	Establishing objective criteria for determining the necessary funds for initial and continuous training										1. Criteria established 2. The Budget of the National Institute of Justice revised in accordance with its actual needs	National Institute of Justice, Ministry of Finance	
Total	area funding	•		•	•	•	•	•	-	•			100152,9

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.3.2. Revision of the	1. Annual surveys on the training needs of actors in the judiciary sector, carried out		National Institute of Justice,
programmes of the National	2. Study conducted and recommendations developed	The year 2014	Superior Council of
Institute of Justice to ensure	3. Training programmes developed and implemented		Magistrates,
their correspondence with the	4. Modern training methods developed		Superior Council of
real training needs of judges,			Prosecutors,
prosecutors and other actors of			Ministry of Justice
the judiciary sector and to			
exclude the doubling of the			
University Curriculum			

										Impl	emer	ıtatio	n dea	dline	2										Amount
No.	4	2011		20	12			20)13			20	14			20	15			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
	Action title	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Create a system to determine the full and timely training needs of justice sector representatives																						The methodology for determining training needs, developed and approved	National Institute of Justice	
2	Creation of an online communication program between the National Institute of Justice and training beneficiaries to identify areas of training and organization of training seminars																						1. Online program created 2. Training plan as required, developed and approved	National Institute of Justice	
3	Creating a common electronic database of the National Institute of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates and the Prosecutor's General Office on the hours of accumulated annual continuing training for every judge, prosecutor or other representative of the judiciary system, the number of subjects studied and other data related to the training activity																						Database created and operational	National Institute of Justice	
4	Review the curriculum for initial training and existing programs and develop programs for new subjects																						The curriculum and programs developed and approved by the National Institute of Justice	National Institute of Justice	
5	Review the modalities for the selection of teaching																						1. Modalities for selecting the	National Institute of	

	staff, the composition of the Council and management system of the National Institute of Justice										teaching staff, developed 2. Draft amendment of the legal framework developed and submitted to the Government 3. Composition of the National Institute of Justice Council, established 4. The new management system developed and implemented	Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Justice	
6	Development of modern training methods										The methods developed and applied	National Institute of Justice	
7	Creating continuous distance training facility										1. Conditions for the implementation of distance training, created 2. Distance training programs developed and approved 3. Criteria for training the trainers, developed and applied	National Institute of Justice	
8	Conducting remote training										1. Number of distance training courses conducted 2. Number of	National Institute of Justice	

																beneficiaries of	
																distance training	
Total	area funding	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•		608,3

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.3.3. Providing the	Studies conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
specialization of judges in	2. The criteria and system of specialization of judges, developed and implemented	The year 2014	Superior Council of
specific cases and examine the	3. Training courses for the specialization of judges held		Magistrates,
possibility of creating the			National Institute of Justice
administrative court system			

									Iı	mple	ment	atio	n de	adliı	ne										Amount
No.		2011		20)12			20)13			20	14			20)15				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
	Action title	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study and developing recommendations on the need for specialization of judges in specific cases																						Study conducted and recommendatio ns developed	Superior Council of Magistrates, Ministry of Justice	
2	Development and approval of normative acts on the specialization of judges in specific cases																						The normative acts of the Superior Council of Magistrates, developed and approved	Superior Council of Magistrates	
3	Include into the information system of courts the component related to the specialization of judges																						Courts' information system revised	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	
4	Develop training programs of the National Institute of Justice and conducting training courses for judges in specific areas																						1. Training programs developed and approved 2. Number of courses held 3. Number of trained judges	National Institute of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	
5	Conducting the study on the appropriateness of the creation of the																						Study conducted and recommendatio	Superior Council of Magistrates,	

	administrative court										ns developed	Ministry of	
	system											Justice	
Tota	l area funding												170,6

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.3.4. Unifying the system of	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		Superior Council of
facilitating the access to the profession of judge	2. The regulation of the Superior Council of Magistrates, developed and adopted	The year 2014	Magistrates, National Institute of Justice, Ministry of Justice

									Iı	mpler	nenta	tion	deadl	ine										-	Amount
No.	Action title	2011 IV	I	2(II	112 III	IV	I	2(II	III	IV	I	II	014 III	IV	I	II	2015 III	IV	I	II	2016 III	IV	Outcome indicators	Institutions in charge	(thousand lei)
2	Develop draft amending legislation, including Law no. 152-XVI from June 8, 2006, on the National Institute of Justice and Law no. 544-XIII of 20 iulie1995, on the Status of Judges, in order to unify the system of facilitating the access to the profession of judge Establishing a unique commission examining the graduates of the National Institute of Justice and people with work																						1. Working group created 2. Analysis of legislation conducted and recommendation s developed 3. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government Unique commission established	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, National Institute of Justice National Institute of Justice, Superior Council of	iei)
3	Implementation of the unique access system to the profession of judge																						Number of judges examined by the unique commission	Magistrates, Ministry of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office National Institute of Justice, Superior Council of	

												Magistrates	
Total	area funding												0,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.3.5. Creating a system of	1. Study conducted and recommendations developed		Superior Council of
periodic evaluation of	2. Periodic performance evaluation criteria developed	The year 2014	Magistrates,
performance of the justice	3. Draft amendments to the regulatory framework developed and adopted		courts,
sector actors, based on merit	4. Judges of all courts subjected to the assessment under the new performance evaluation criteria		Superior Council of
and on clear, objective and	5. Report of the review of the evaluation criteria implementation		Prosecutors,
transparent criteria			National Institute of Justice,
			unions of liberal professions
			of the justice sector

									Imp	olem	enta	tior	ı dea	adlin	e									0 1	.	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20)12			201	3			2	014				2015				201	6		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	П	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	I	П	II	I	V	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop draft amending legislation, including Law no. 544-XIII of 20 July 1995 on the status of judges, and the bill for the selection, career development and performance evaluation of judges																							1. Working group created 2. Analysis of legislation conducted and recommendations developed 3. Draft laws prepared and submitted to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	
2	Drafting the normative acts of the Superior Council of Magistrates necessary for performance evaluation of judges																							Normative acts prepared and approved	Superior Council of Magistrates	
3	Creating the Board for the performance evaluation of judges																							Number of Board members elected / appointed	Superior Council of Magistrates	
4	Performance evaluation of all judges of courts, according to the schedule approved by the Superior Council of Magistrates																							Schedule approved Number of judges evaluated	Superior Council of Magistrates	

5	Monitoring the implementation of the provisions on periodic performance evaluation of judges																						Monitoring carried out Monitoring report prepared and distributed	Superior Council of Magistrates	
Total	area funding																								469,4
Sp	pecific intervention area							I	mpl	eme	ntati	on n	nilest	tone	S								Deadline	Institutions	in charge
aimin perfo syster	Creating mechanisms or to measure the rmance of the judiciary n by the way of surveys g litigants (feedback)	1. Meth 2. Surve 3. Surve	eys or	n perf	orma	nce as				icted	l												The year 2014	Superior Counc Magistrates	eil of
									Imp	leme	entat	ion	dead	lline											Amount
No.	Action title	2011 IV	I	20 II	12 III	IV	I	201:		IV	I	20 II		IV	I	II 2	015 III	IV	I	II)16 III	IV	Outcome indicators	Institutions in charge	(thousand lei)
1	Develop the methodology for measuring the performance of the judiciary system by the surveys among litigants (feedback)																						Methodology developed Recommendations developed	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	
2	Conduct regular surveys among litigants (feedback)																						1. Surveys conducted 2. Survey results summarized and published	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	
Total	area funding																								162,6
	pecific intervention area								mpl			on n	nilest	tone	S								Deadline	Institutions	
judici	Strengthening the role of all inspection and ication of its powers	2. Draft 3. Regu	1. Study conducted and recommendations developed 2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted 3. Regulation of the Superior Council of Magistrates amended 4. Powers of judicial inspection, revised											The year 2012	Ministry of Jus Superior Counc Magistrates										
No									Imp	lemo	enta	tion	dead	lline									Outcome	Institutions in	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	2012			20	13			20	14			20	15			201	.6		indicators	charge	(thousand

		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	П	Ш	IV			lei)
1	Develop draft amending legislation, including Law no. 947-XIII din19 July 1996 on the Superior Council of Magistrates, to alter the role and duties of the judicial inspection																						1. Working group created 2. Analysis of legislation conducted and recommendatio ns developed 3. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	
2	Amending the Superior Council of Magistrates regulations that govern judicial inspection																						Draft amendments to regulations developed and approved	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	
3	Conducting inspections according to the annual inspection plan for the courts, developed and approved by the Superior Council of Magistrates																						1. Annual inspection plan developed and approved 2. Number of inspections conducted 3. Reports prepared, examined at the meetings of the Superior Council of Magistrates and disseminated	Superior Council of Magistrates	
Total	area funding	l		l				1		1			1			l	1						a.commuted		299,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.3.8. Review the range of	1. Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
disciplinary deviations and	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2012	Superior Council of
disciplinary procedure pursuing	3. Disciplinary deviations range revised and adjusted		Magistrates
their adjustment to the realities	4. New mechanism on the examination of cases related to disciplinary accountability, implemented		
of the system and to the			
European standards			

									Im	plem	enta	ation	dead	line											Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	12			2	013			2	2014			20)15			2	016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
2	Conducting a study on the range of disciplinary deviations and disciplinary procedure pursuing their adjustment them to the realities of the system and to the European standards Developing draft																						Study conducted and recommendatio ns developed Bill prepared	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates Ministry of	
2	amending legislation, including Law no. 544-XIII of 20 July 1995 on the Status of Judges and the Law no. 950-XIII of 19 July 1996 on the disciplinary board and disciplinary accountability of judges																						and submitted for review to the Government	Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	
Total	area funding								1				1				1			1	1				43,3

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.3.9. Reforming the judge	Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
immunity institution to only	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2012	Superior Council of
provide functional immunity	3. Judge immunity institution reformed		Magistrates

									Imp	lemei	ntati	on d	leadli	ine											Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	12			2	013			2	014			20	015			201	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
	Develop draft amending																						1. Working	Ministry of	
	legislation, including Law																						group created	Justice,	
	no. 544-XIII of 20 July																						2. Analysis of	Superior	
	1995 on the Status of																						legislation	Council of	
	Judges and the Law no.																						conducted and	Magistrates	
	947-XIII of 19 July 1996																						recommendatio		
	on the Superior Council of																						ns developed		
	Magistrates, aiming to																						3. Bill prepared		
	reform the judge immunity																						and submitted		
	institution																						for review to the		
																							Government		

Total area funding 0,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
1.3.10. Strengthening the judicial system by introducing the position of the judicial assistant and modifying the status and duties of the registrar	1. Study conducted and recommendations developed 2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted 3. Judicial assistant position introduced in the organization of courts and filled 4. The duties of the registrar revised 5. Initial and continuous training curriculum developed 6. Initial and continuous training courses for judicial assistants conducted	The year 2016	Superior Council of Magistrates, courts, Ministry of Justice, National Institute of Justice

									Iı	mplei	menta	ation	dead	lline											Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			20	013			20	014			20	015			2	2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	VI	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop draft amending legislation, including Law no. 514-XIII of 6 July 1995 on judicial organization, aiming to introduce the position of the judicial assistant and modifying the status and duties of the registrar																						1. Working group created 2. Analysis of legislation conducted and recommendatio ns developed 3. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government 4. Judicial assistant position introduced in the organization of courts 5. The duties of the registrar revised, job description supplemented and amended 6. Job description for judicial assistants developed	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	

2	Introducing the position of									Judicial	Ministry of	
	judicial assistant in the									assistant	Justice,	
	courts									position	Superior	
										introduced in	Council of	
										the courts of	Magistrates	
										appeal and		
										courts according		
										to the plan set		
3	Develop training									Curriculum	National	
	curriculum for initial and									developed and	Institute of	
	continuous training for									approved	Justice	
	judicial assistants											
4	Conducting initial and									1. Training	National	
	continuous training									schedule	Institute of	
	courses for judicial									developed	Justice,	
	assistants									2. Number of	Superior	
										training courses	Council of	
										conducted	Magistrates	
										3. Number of		
										judicial		
										assistants		
										trained		
	area funding											101060,7
	funding strategic direction 1	1.3										202967,0
Total	funding pillarI											680050,5

PILLAR II. Criminal Justice

Specific objective: Streamlining the interlocutory investigation to ensure respect for human rights, security of every person and diminish the level of crime

	Strategic direction 2.1. Reviewing the pre-judicial phase concept and procedure		
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.1.1. Optimization of the	1. The reform concept of the Ministry of Internal Affairs implemented		Ministry of Justice, Ministry
institutional, organizational and	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2014	of Internal Affairs
operational framework of the			
Ministry of Internal Affairs			

									Iı	mple	men	ıtatio	n dea	adline	2								_		Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			2	013			- 2	2014			20	15			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	П	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Improving the legal framework for the police and Carabineers by developing the law on policing and police status, the law on Carabineers' service and other relevant legislation and bringing the normative acts in force in accordance with this																						1. Draft laws prepared and submitted to the Government 2. Normative acts drafted or modified	Ministry of Internal Affairs	
2	Implementation of the changes of the institutional, organizational and operational framework of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and its subordinated institutions																						Changes implemented	Ministry of Internal Affairs	
3	Monitoring the implementation of changes to the institutional, organizational and functional framework of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and its subordinated institutions																						1. Monitoring carried out 2. Monitoring report prepared and disseminated	Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice	
Total	area funding			L	1	1		1						1		L	1			l .					114,3
Sı	oecific intervention area								Im	ıplen	1ent	tation	ı mile	estone	es								Deadline	Institutions	in charge

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.1.2. Reviewing the status of	1. Review Concept of the status of the Centre for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, developed		Ministry of Justice,
the Centre for Combating	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2012	Center for Combating
Economic Crimes and			Economic Crimes and
Corruption			Corruption

									Im	plem	enta	tion c	leadli	ine									0.4	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		201	2			20	13			20)14			20	015			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)

1	Develop the reform	Strategy Center for	
	strategy of the Centre for	developed Combating	
	Combating Economic	Economic Economic	
	Crimes and Corruption	Crimes and	
		Corruption	
2	Develop a draft amending	1. Bill prepared Center for	
	Law no. 1104-XV of 6	and submitted Combating	
	June 2002 on the Center	for review to the Economic	
	for Combating Economic	Government Crimes and	
	Crimes and Corruption and	2. Draft Corruption,	
	the Criminal Procedure	normative acts General	
	Code no. 122-XV of 14	developed and Prosecutor's	
	March 2003, development	approved Office,	
	of other draft normative	Ministry of	
	acts to determine the role,	Internal	
	place and powers of	Affairs,	
	specialized body to combat	Customs	
	corruption offenses	Service,	
	Corruption officials	Ministry of	
		Finance	
-	Tour law anting also and to		
3	Implementing changes to	$oldsymbol{\cdot}$	
	the status of the Centre for	implemented Combating	
	Combating Economic	Economic	
	Crimes and Corruption	Crimes and	
		Corruption,	
		General	
		Prosecutor's	
		Office,	
		Internal	
		Affairs,	
		Customs	
		Service Service	
Tota	al area funding	0,)

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.1.3. Clarifying the role and	1. The concept of the pre-judicial phase, developed		Ministry of Justice,
powers of prosecuting	2. Law amending the Criminal Procedure Code and other normative acts developed and adopted	The year 2012	General Prosecutor's Office,
authorities and bodies carrying	3. Draft amendments to the institutional framework and implementation plan developed and adopted		Ministry of Internal Affairs,
out operative investigations			Customs Service,
			Center for Combating
			Economic Crimes and

	Corruption,
	Intelligence and Security
	Service

									Iı	mpler	nenta	ation	dead	line									0.1		Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20)12				13			20	14				015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop draft amending the Criminal Procedure Code no. 122-XV of 14 March 2003 and other draft normative acts aiming to clarify the role and powers of prosecuting authorities and bodies carrying out special investigation works																						1. Working group created 2. Analysis of legislation carried out and recommendations developed 3. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government 4. Draft normative acts developed	Ministry of Justice	
2	Conducting a comparative study on the prosecution bodies systems aiming to optimize their number and, where appropriate, develop a draft amending certain legislation																						1. Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed 2. Where appropriate, Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Intelligence and Security Service, Customs Service	
Total	area funding	1		1	1	1						l	1	1		I				1	1	1		501 1100	28,6

Specific intervention areaImplementation milestonesDeadlineInstitutions in charge2.1.4. Optimizing procedures1. Study conducted and recommendations developedMinistry of Justice,

for operational investigation	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2012	General Prosecutor's Office,
and prosecution	3. The correlation between the activity of the operative investigation bodies and the activity of the		Ministry of Internal Affairs,
	prosecution bodies, clarified		Customs Service,
	4. Training for employees of relevant authorities, organized and conducted		Center for Combating
			Economic Crimes and
			Corruption,
			Intelligence and Security
			Service

										mple	men			eadlir	e								Outcome	Institutions in	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		_	2012			2	2013				2014			_	015	_			2016		indicators	charge	(thousand
	Action title	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV			lei)
	Develop draft amending the Criminal Procedure Code no. 122-XV from 14 March 2003, the draft law on special investigation activity and other normative acts aiming to optimize the procedures for operational investigation and prosecution																						1. Working group created 2. Analysis of legislation carried out and recommendation s developed 3. Draft laws prepared and submitted to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Supreme Court of Justice, Ministry of Internal Affairs, General Prosecutor's Office, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Customs Service, Lawyers Union, Intelligence and Security Service	
Total	area funding																								405,1

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.1.5. Improving the criminal	1. Performance evaluation study of the criminal justice system through the ECHR jurisprudence developed		Ministry of Justice,
procedure legislation, aiming to	and recommendations developed	The year 2012	General Prosecutor's Office,
remove the contradictions with	2. Proposed changes to legal and institutional framework developed and adopted		Ministry of Internal Affairs,
the standards of protection in			Center for Combating

the area of human rights and		Economic Crimes and
fundamental freedoms		Corruption,
		Intelligence and Security
		Service,
		Customs Service

									Ir	npler	menta	ation	dead	line											Amount
No.	Action title	2011			012)13			20					015				2016		Outcome indicators	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop the draft law on special investigation activity and corresponding amendments to the Criminal Procedure Code no. 122-XV of 14 March 2003																						1.Working group created 2. Analysis carried out and recommendatio ns developed 3. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Intelligence and Security Service, Customs Service	
2	Conducting a study of legislation, including criminal procedure legislation, to determine its compliance with existing standards in the area of human rights and fundamental freedoms																						Study conducted and recommendatio ns developed	Ministry of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Intelligence	

					and Security Service, Customs Service
3	Review the categories of persons enjoying criminal procedure immunity and regulations in the Code of Criminal Procedure no. 122-XV of 14 March 2003 of provisions that will enable holding them criminally liable			1. Working group created 2. Study carried out and recommendations developed 3. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Customs Service, Intelligence and Security Service
4	Alignment of the provisions of the Criminal Code. 985-XV of 18 April 2002 and of the Contravention Code 218-XVI from 24 October 2008 to the new rules of the criminal procedure legislation			1. Working group created 2. Study carried out and recommendations developed 3. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Customs Service, Intelligence and Security Service

Total area funding	35,5
Total funding strategic direction 2.1	583,5

	Strategic direction 2.2. Enhancing professionalism and independence of the prosecutor's	office	
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.2.1. Reviewing the procedure	1. Law amending the Constitution, drafted and adopted		Ministry of Justice,
for the appointment and	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2014	General Prosecutor's Office,
dismissal of the Prosecutor	3. Criteria for the selection of candidates for Prosecutor General position, developed and approved		Superior Council of
General and establishing clear,			Prosecutors
transparent and objective			
criteria for the selection of			
candidates for this position			

										Impl	lemer	tatio	n dea	dline											Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2(012			20	13			2	014			2	015			2	2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting the study on the appointment and dismissal of the Prosecutor General and lower level prosecutors and the duration of the Prosecutor General mandate																						Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	General Prosecutor's Office	
2	Develop draft amending the Constitution in respect to the procedure for appointing and dismissing the Prosecutor General and the duration of his mandate																						Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Constitutional Court	Ministry of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office	
3	Develop a draft amending Law no. 294-XVI of 25 December 2008 on Prosecutor's Office in part related to the organization and operation of prosecutor's office																						Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office	

Total area funding 28,4

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.2.2. Establish clear,	1. Study and recommendations developed		General Prosecutor's Office,
transparent, objective and	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2014	Superior Council of
merit-based criteria and	3. New criteria for the selection, appointment, transfer and promotion of prosecutors, developed and adopted		Prosecutors
procedure for the selection,	4. Structures vested with functions of selection, appointment, transfer and promotion of prosecutors		
appointment, transfer and			
promotion of prosecutors			

									Im	pleme	ntat	ion	dead	lline	!								0.4	Tankia dia ania	Amount
No.	Action title	2011 IV			012	IV	T		013	137	T		014	137	T	_	015	IV	_	п	2016	IV	Outcome indicators	Institutions in charge	(thousand
1	Conducting a study on the criteria and procedure for selection, appointment, transfer and promotion of prosecutors and criteria for periodic evaluation of the performance of prosecutors and staff of the prosecutor's office	IV	1	п	III	IV		П	III	IV	I	П	III	IV	I	П	Ш		I	П	Ш	IV	Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	Ministry of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Customs Service, National	lei)
2	Develop a draft amending Law no. 294-XVI of 25 December 2008 on Prosecutor's Office																						1. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government 2. Criteria for selection, appointment, transfer and promotion of prosecutors, developed and approved 3. Periodic evaluation of performance	Institute of Justice Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Prosecutors, General Prosecutor's Office	

										criteria, developed 4. Structure vested with the functions of selection, appointment, transfer, promotion and periodic evaluation of performance of the prosecutors 5. Number of prosecutors subjected to assessment against the new criteria 6. Report reviewing the implementation of the evaluation criteria developed		
3	Developing the regulatory framework needed to implement amendments to the Law no. 294-XVI of 25 December 2008 on Prosecutor's Office									Regulations developed and approved	General Prosecutor's Office, Superior Council of Prosecutors	
4	Creating mechanisms for measuring performance through surveys among litigants on the activity of the prosecutor's office									1.Methodology developed 2. Performance evaluation surveys conducted 3. Survey results summarized and published	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Prosecutors, General Prosecutor's Office	
Tota	l area funding	l l	•					II.		•		28,4

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.2.3. Strengthening capacities	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		General Prosecutor's Office,
and ensuring independence of	2. Superior Council of Prosecutors provided with appropriate budget, staff and premises	The year 2014	Superior Council of
the Superior Council of	3. Training courses for members and staff of the Superior Council of Prosecutors conducted		Prosecutors,
Prosecutors to efficiently			Ministry of Justice,
manage the institution of			National Institute of Justice
prosecutor's office			

									Im	pleme	entat	ion (dead	line									Outcome	Institutions in	Amount
No.	Action title	2011 IV	ī	201	12 III	IV	Ţ	1I	013 III	IV	ī		14 III	IV	I	1I	015 III	IV	_	II	2016 III	IV	indicators	charge	(thousand lei)
1	Develop a draft amending Law no. 294-XVI of 25 December 2008 on the prosecutor's office, which would establish a separate budget, necessary number of administrative staff and allocation of premises for the Superior Council of Prosecutors																					.,	1. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government 2. Own budget established 3. Premises provided	Ministry of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office	
2	Develop / review the organization and operation regulations of the Superior Council of Prosecutors and its agencies																						Draft regulations developed and approved	Superior Council of Prosecutors	
3	Organizing training courses for members and staff of the Superior Council of Prosecutors																						1. Number of courses conducted 2. Number of people trained	National Institute of Justice, Superior Council of Prosecutors	
4	Increasing the transparency of the mechanisms and self-administration bodies of the Prosecutor's Office																						1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted 2. Regulations of the Superior Council of	Superior Council of Prosecutors, General Prosecutor's Office	

										Prosecutors,		
										adopted		
										3. Information		
										on the activity		
										of the		
										prosecutor's		
										office,		
										published and		
										updated		
Total	l area funding				•	•	•				105,7	

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.2.4. Clear determination of	1. Study and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
the powers of the prosecutor's	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2014	General Prosecutor's Office
office bodies			

No.	Action title								Im	pleme	entat	ion	dead	lline									Outcome	Institutions in	Amount
		2011		201	12			20	013			20	014			- 2	2015				2016		indicators	charge	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	I	I III	IV			lei)
1	Develop a draft amending Law no. 294-XVI of 25 December 2008 on the prosecutor's office, the Code of Civil Procedure no. 225-XV of 30 May 2003, Law no. 793-XIV of 10 February 2000 on administrative review, etc., aiming to limit prosecutor's participation to the trials of non-criminal cases																						Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Customs Service, Superior Council of Magistrates	
2	Monitoring the implementation of changes in the regulatory framework for reviewing the powers of the prosecutor's office																						1. Monitoring carried out 2. Monitoring report prepared and distributed	Ministry of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Customs	

											Service,	
											National	
											Institute of	
											Justice	
Total	area funding		-							-		57,1

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.2.5. Providing specialization	Study conducted and recommendations developed		General Prosecutor's Office,
for prosecutors on specific cases	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2014	Superior Council of
and examining the possibility of	3. Specialization system for prosecutors developed		Prosecutors,
operating specialized	4. Specialty courses for prosecutors conducted		Ministry of Justice,
prosecutor's offices	5. Modified structure of the prosecutor's office		National Institute of Justice

									Im	plem	entat	tion	deac	dline									0.1	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		201	12			2	013			20	014			2	015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	П	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting the study on the need for specialization of prosecutors, and the possibility of operating specialized prosecutor's offices																						Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	General Prosecutor's Office	
2	Develop a draft amending Law no. 294-XVI of 25 December 2008 on the prosecutor's office and other legal acts																						1. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government 2. The structure of the prosecutor's office, modified	General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Justice	
3	Develop training programs of the National Institute of Justice and organizing specialized courses for prosecutors on specific areas																						1. Training programs developed and approved 2. Number of courses conducted 3. Number of prosecutors trained	National Institute of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office	
Total	area funding	1	I		1	1		1	1							1	1	1	<u> </u>	1		l	trumou		2036,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.2.6. Examining the staffing	Study conducted and recommendations developed		General Prosecutor's Office,
needs of the prosecutor's office	2. Draft amendment of the legal framework, developed	The year 2014	Superior Council of
and developing proposals for			Prosecutors,
optimizing the number of			Ministry of Finance
prosecutors and support staff			-

									Im	plemo	entat	tion	dead	lline									0.4	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011			012				013)14	1			015				2016		Outcome indicators	Institutions in charge	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	mulcators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting the study of the staffing needs of the prosecutor's office and the optimization of the number of prosecutors and support personnel																						Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	General Prosecutor's Office	
2	Develop a draft amending the regulatory framework aimed at prosecutor's office staff																						Draft amendment of the legal framework developed and submitted for review to the Government	General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Finance	
3	Optimizing the displacement map of the prosecutor's office bodies aiming to strengthen its institutional capacity																						1. Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed 2. Draft amendment of the legal framework developed and submitted for review to the Government 3. Displacement map of the prosecutor's office optimized	General Prosecutor's Office, Superior Council of Prosecutors	

4	Reforming and strengthening the structures and systems of the prosecutor's office for the interaction with the public					4. Prosecutor's office bodies, reorganized 1. Web pages of prosecutor's office bodies 2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted 3. Information campaigns on the operation of the prosecutor's office bodies 1. Draft	Ministry of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office, Superior Council of Prosecutors	
	systems in the premises of the prosecutor's office bodies					amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted 2. Security technologies installed 3. Increased security in the premises of the prosecutor's office bodies	Prosecutor's Office, Superior Council of Prosecutors, Ministry of Internal Affairs	
6	Strengthening institutional capacities of the prosecutor's office bodies, including examining the opportunity to build a common headquarters for all the units of the Office of the Prosecutor General and prosecutor's offices of Chisinau;					1. Feasibility study prepared and recommendations developed 2. Estimate of expenses drawn 3. Financing finishing the no. 2 office of Prosecutor	General Prosecutor's Office, Superior Council of Prosecutors, Ministry of Regional Development and Construction	

construction / renovation								General	
of premises for								4. Designs for	
prosecutor's offices								construction /	
bodies across the country								renovation of	
								the prosecutor's	
								offices,	
								developed	
								5. The number	
								of prosecutor's	
								offices, built /	
								renovated	
Total area funding	•		•	•	•	•	•		35,6

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.2.7. Reviewing the funding	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		General Prosecutor's Office,
mechanism for prosecutor's	2. Financing mechanism for the prosecutor's office bodies revised	Thea year 2016	Superior Council of
office bodies			Prosecutors,
			Ministry of Finance

									Im	plem	entat	ion	dead	lline									0.4	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2()12			2	2013			20)14			2	015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	П	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting the study on the practice of financing prosecutor's office bodies in recent years and international practices in this area																						Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	General Prosecutor's Office	
2	Creating an adequate, consistent and sustainable mechanism for financing prosecutor's office bodies by increasing their funding and unifying the budgetary process of the prosecutor's office bodies																						1. The percentage of funding prosecutor's office bodies established 2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted 3. The	General Prosecutor's Office, Superior Council of Prosecutors, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Finance	

							budgetary process of the prosecutor's office bodies unified		
3	Increasing management efficiency and improving the practical and regulatory management system of the prosecutor's office bodies and the strategic analysis ob budget						1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted 2. Positions of senior prosecutors, reviewed 3. Initial and continuous training program developed and implemented 4. Training for staff responsible for budget preparation and execution carried out	General Prosecutor's Office, Superior Council of Prosecutors, Ministry of Justice, National Institute of Justice	
Tota	l area funding	•							29,1

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.2.8. Demilitarization of the	Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
prosecutor's office institution,	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		General Prosecutor's Office,
including by examining the	3. Prosecutor's office institution demilitarized	The year 2014	Superior Council of
opportunity to grant to the			Prosecutors
prosecutors the status of			
magistrates			

									I	mple	menta	ation	dead	lline	!								0	T4:44: :	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			20	013			20	14			2	015			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting the study on																						Study carried	Ministry of	
	the demilitarization of the																						out and	Justice,	

prosecutor's office institution and the opportunity to grant to the prosecutors the status of prosecutor's office recommendation s developed Prosecutor's Office	5
magistrates 2 Develop a draft amending Law no. 294-XVI of 25 December 2008 on the prosecutor's office, the Code of Criminal Procedure no. 122-XV of 14 March 2003, etc., aiming to demilitarize the institution of the prosecutor's office	
3 Monitoring the implementation of changes in the regulatory the demilitarization of the prosecutor's office institution 1. Monitoring Ministry of carried out Justice, General report prepared and Office disseminated	
Total area funding	85,5

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.2.9. Establishing a mechanism	Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
to exclude the possibility for the	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2012	General Prosecutor's Office,
hierarchically superior			Superior Council of
prosecutors to illegally instruct			Prosecutors
subordinated prosecutors;			
safeguarding internal			
independence of all prosecutors			

									Im	plem	enta	tion	deac	lline									0.4	T ('')	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	2012			2	013			20	014			20	015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
	Develop a draft amending																						1. Working	Ministry of	
	the Criminal Procedure																						group created	Justice,	
	Code no. 122-XV of 14																						2. Analysis of	General	
	March 2003 and Law no.																						legislation	Prosecutor's	
	294-XVI of 25 December																						carried out and	Office	
	2008 on the prosecutor's																						recommendatio		

	office aiming to safeguard									ns developed	
	the internal independence									3. Bill prepared	
	of all prosecutors									and submitted	
										for review to the	
										Government	
Tota	l area funding										0,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.2.10. Reviewing the rules on	1. Study and recommendations developed	The year 2014	Ministry of Justice,
liability of prosecutors,	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		General Prosecutor's
including disciplinary liability,			Office,
and eliminating their general			Superior Council of
immunity			Prosecutors

									Im	plem	enta	tion	dead	lline	;										Amount
No.	Action title	2011 IV	,	II 2	2012 III	IV	,	II	013 III	IV)14 	IV		II	015 III	IV	T	1I	2016 III	IV	Outcome indicators	Institutions in charge	(thousand
1	Conducting the study the rules of liability of	17			m		1	III		1			111					-	-			1,	Study carried out and	General Prosecutor's	lei)
	prosecutors, including disciplinary liability, and eliminating their general immunity																						recommendatio ns developed	Office, Superior Council of Prosecutors, Ministry of Justice	
2	Develop a draft amending the regulatory framework aiming to change the rules for liability of prosecutors and eliminating their general immunity																						Draft amending the regulatory framework, drafted and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office	
3	Establishing the inspection of the prosecutor's office, strengthening its role and regulating its powers																						1. Study and recommendatio ns developed 2. Draft amending the regulatory framework, drafted and submitted for review to the	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Prosecutors, General Prosecutor's Office	

												Government	
Tota	al area funding												43,4
Tota	al funding strategic direction	2.2											2449,9

Strategic directi	ion 2.3. Professional capacity building at individual and institutional levels in issues dealing	ng with crime in	vestigations
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.3.1. Implementation of	1. Modern methods of crime investigation and prosecution applied		General Prosecutor's Office,
modern methods of criminal	2. Trainings for the stakeholders' staff organised and carried out	The year 2016	Ministry of Internal Affairs,
investigation and prosecution			Center for Combating
(information techniques,			Economic Crimes and
modern expertise, etc.)			Corruption,
			Customs Service,
			Intelligence and Security
			Service,
			Ministry of Finance

									Im	plem	enta	tion	dead	dline									0.4	T (*)	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20)12			2	013			20)14			2	015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Expertise capacity building of forensic laboratories (DNA analysis)																						Necessary equipment purchased	General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Health	
2	Organizing training courses on modern methods of investigation and prosecution of workers involved in criminal investigation activity																						1. Number of courses conducted 2. Number of people trained	General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Customs	

			T = . T	
			Service,	
			Intelligence	
			and Security	
			Service	
3	Establishing specific	1. Working	General	
	working procedures,	procedures	Prosecutor's	
	developing methodology	established	Office,	
	and best practice manuals	2.	Ministry of	
	for special activities	Methodologies	Internal	
	regarding criminal	developed and	Affairs,	
	investigation and	approved	Center for	
	prosecution	3. Brochures	Combating	
		printed and	Economic	
		distributed to	Crimes and	
		beneficiaries	Corruption,	
		30110113141105	Customs	
			Service,	
			Intelligence	
			and Security	
			Service Service	
4	Construction of a new	Headquarters	Ministry of	
"	headquarters of the	built	Justice	
	National Center for	built	Justice	
	Judicial Expertise under			
	the European			
	requirements, norms and			
	standards, with			
	environmental conditions			
	(electricity, heat, water,			
	sewage system, ventilation			
	systems etc.) appropriate			
	for offices equipped with			
	specialized laboratories			
	(physics, chemistry,			
	ballistics, radiology, etc.)	T	25: 1 0	
5	Procurement of modern	Equipment	Ministry of	
	equipment necessary for	purchased	Justice	
	research and investigations			
	in judicial expertise			
6	Develop methodologies for	Methodologies	Ministry of	
	conducting all types of	developed and	Justice,	
	judicial expertise	approved	Ministry of	

7	Creating mobile forensic laboratories (in the center, north and south of the country)											Laboratories created and operational	Internal Affairs, Ministry of Health, Ministry of Defence, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Intelligence and Security Service Ministry of Internal Affairs	
Total	area funding		<u> </u>	- 1		 1	-	1 1					1	57626,2

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.3.2. Improving professional	1. Training programs developed and implemented		National Institute of Justice,
skills of persons involved in the	2. Training of persons involved in the criminal investigation and prosecution activities, conducted	The year 2016	Ministry of Internal Affairs,
criminal investigation and			Intelligence and Security
prosecution activities			Service,
			Center for Combating
			Economic Crimes and
			Corruption,
			Customs Service,
			General Prosecutor's Office

									Im	plem	entat	tion	dead	lline									0.4	T (*)	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		201	12			2	013			2()14			20	015			2	2016		Outcome	Institutions	(thousand
		IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	П	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	indicators	in charge	lei)
1	Develop training programs for persons involved in the criminal investigation and prosecution activities																						Training programs developed	National Institute of Justice, National Institute of Intelligence and Security	

						"Bogdan Intemeietorul Moldovei",Ac ademy "Stefan cel Mare", Customs Service, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption	
2	Organize training courses for persons involved in the criminal investigation and prosecution activities				1. Training plan developed and approved 2. Number of courses conducted 3. Number of people trained	National Institute of Justice, National Institute of Intelligence and Security "Bogdan Intemeietorul Moldovei", Academy "Stefan cel Mare", Customs Service, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption	
Tota	area funding						789,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.3.3. Increasing capacity and	Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
reconsidering the place and role	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2014	Ministry of Internal Affairs,
of judicial expertise centers and	3. Judicial expertise center reformed		Ministry of Health,
judicial experts			Center for Combating
			Economic Crimes and
			Corruption,
			Intelligence and Security

	Service

									Im	plemo	entat	tion	dead	lline	!										Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			2	2013			20)14			2	015			2	2016		Outcome	Institutions	(thousand
1,0.		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I		Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	in charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study (in terms of international regulations and practices of other states) on: a) advisability of establishing the joint legal expertise system, particularly in areas where the state holds the monopoly (e.g., forensic expertise), and finding solutions to strengthen the current system of judicial expertise; b) possibilities to reform the system of judicial centers of expertise and identify the optimal solution for the Republic of Moldova																						Study carried out and recommendation s developed	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Health, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Intelligence and Security Service	
2	Developing the draft of the new laws on judicial expertise, which shall regulate the conditions for obtaining the quality of a judicial expert; conditions for the recognition of the qualification of judicial expert in Moldova for people who have obtained it in another state; the criteria for admission and examination of candidates for the position of judicial																						Draft laws prepared and submitted to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Health, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Intelligence and Security	

	expert and develop a draft amending some normative										Service	
3.	Develop a new draft regulation on the National Center for Judicial Expertise		П							Draft regulation developed and approved	Ministry of Justice	
Tota	area funding	<u> </u>		•				·	· ·			0,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.3.4. Conducting criminal	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		General Prosecutor's Office,
investigation within an	2. Task force group rules developed and implemented	The year 2016	Ministry of Internal Affairs,
interdepartmental group ("task			Center for Combating
force group"), if necessary;			Economic Crimes and
streamlining criminal			Corruption,
investigation			Customs Service

									Im	plem	enta	tion	dead	lline									0.4	T (1)	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	2012			2	013			2(14			2	015			2	016		Outcome	Institutions	(thousand
		IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	in charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on the conditions, rules and procedure for the creation and operation of the task force group																						Study carried out and recommendation s developed	General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Customs Service	
2	Draft amendment of the primary legislative framework to establish the manner, procedures and conditions for the operation of the task force																						Draft amendment of the legal framework, developed and submitted for	General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs,	

groups Task force rules developed and approved Task force groups	
Beconomic Crimes and Corruption, Customs Service 3 Develop the task force rules regarding the manner, procedure and conditions for the operation of the task force groups Beconomic Crimes and Corruption, Customs Service Task force rules developed and approved Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs,	
Task force rules General developed and approved Office, Ministry of Internal groups Crimes and Corruption, Customs Service Task force rules developed and approved Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs,	
3 Develop the task force rules regarding the manner, procedure and conditions for the operation of the task force groups Corruption, Customs Service Task force rules developed and approved Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs,	
Develop the task force Task force rules General rules regarding the manner, procedure and conditions for the operation of the task force groups Customs Service Task force rules developed and approved Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs,	
3 Develop the task force rules regarding the manner, procedure and conditions for the operation of the task force groups Service Task force rules developed and approved Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs,	
3 Develop the task force rules regarding the developed and approved Office, Conditions for the groups Task force rules developed and approved Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs,	
rules regarding the manner, procedure and conditions for the operation of the task force groups rules regarding the developed and approved Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs,	
manner, procedure and conditions for the operation of the task force groups manner, procedure and approved Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs,	
manner, procedure and conditions for the operation of the task force groups manner, procedure and approved Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs,	
conditions for the operation of the task force groups Ministry of Internal Affairs,	
groups Affairs,	
groups Affairs,	
Center for	
Combating	
Economic	
Crimes and	
Corruption,	
Customs	
4 Organizing training 1. Training plan National	
courses for investigators developed and Institute of	
on cooperation within task approved Justice,	
force groups 2. Number of Academy	
courses held "Stefan cel	
3. Number of Mare"	
people trained	
Total area funding 168,	,3

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.3.5. Improving professional	Study conducted and recommendations developed		General Prosecutor's Office,
skills of the pre-judicial phase	2. Specialized system for the pre-judicial actors developed	The year 2016	Ministry of Internal Affairs,
actors by ensuring their	3. Specialization courses for actors involved in the pre-judicial phase, carried out		Center for Combating
specialization			Economic Crimes and
			Corruption,
			Customs Service

									Im	plem	enta	tion	dead	lline	!								0.4	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	2012			2	013			2	014			2	015			20	16		Outcome	Institutions	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	in charge	lei)
1	Conducting the study on the need for specialization																						Study carried out and	General Prosecutor's	

	for the pre-judicial phase								recommendation	Office,	
	actors								s developed	Ministry of	
										Internal	
										Affairs,	
										Center for	
										Combating	
										Economic	
										Crimes and	
										Corruption,	
										Customs	
										Service	
2	Organizing specialized								1. Number of	General	
	courses for the pre-judicial								courses	Prosecutor's	
	phase actors								conducted	Office,	
									2. Number of	Ministry of	
									persons trained	Internal	
										Affairs,	
										Center for	
										Combating	
										Economic	
										Crimes and	
										Corruption,	
										Customs	
										Service	
Total	area funding										661,7
Total	funding strategic direction 2.3										59245,2

Strategic direction 2.4. Mod	dernization of the statistical data collection system and of the professional performance professional levels	evaluation syste	em at individual and
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.4.1. Ensuring electronic	1. Study conducted and recommendations developed		General Prosecutor's Office,
records and examining the	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2014	Ministry of Internal Affairs,
possibility of electronic	3. Electronic system of offenses records developed and implemented		Center for Combating
dissemination of complaints on			Economic Crimes and
committing offenses			Corruption,
			Customs Service
		•	

									Im	plem	enta	tion	dead	lline									Outcome	Institutions	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	2012			2	013			2	014			2	015			2	2016		indicators	in charge	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV			lei)

								1			
1	Developing the automated information system for recording offenses "Register of forensic and criminological information"								System developed and applied	General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Customs Service	
2	Develop the regulatory framework that ensures electronic recording of offenses								1. Draft amending the regulatory framework, drafted and submitted for review to the Government 2. Effective access mechanism implemented 3. Number of personnel trained	General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Customs Service	
3	Conducting a study on the possibility to disseminate electronic complaints on committing offenses, taking into account the practice of other countries and, where appropriate, making proposals for amending the regulatory framework								1. Study carried out and recommendation s developed 2. Where appropriate, draft normative acts prepared and submitted for review to the Government	General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Customs Service	

4	Analyzing the					1. Monitoring	Ministry of	
	implementation of the new					carried out	Justice,	
	information system and					2. Monitoring	General	
	developing proposals for					report prepared	Prosecutor's	
	its improvement					and	Office,	
						disseminated	Ministry of	
							Internal	
							Affairs,	
							Center for	
							Combating	
							Economic	
							Crimes and	
							Corruption,	
							Customs	
							Service	
Total	area funding			-				1786,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.4.2. Review and uniform the	1. Study conducted and recommendations developed		Special Telecommunications
method for collecting and	2. The process for collecting and analyzing statistical data related to criminal justice, modified and	The year 2014	Center,
analyzing statistical data related	standardized		General Prosecutor's Office,
to criminal justice and ensure			Ministry of Internal Affairs,
inter-operability of the			Center for Combating
databases			Economic Crimes and
			Corruption,
			Customs Service,
			Intelligence and Security
			Service,
			National Bureau of
			Statistics,
			Ministry of Justice,
			Supreme Court of Justice

									Im	plem	entat	tion	dead	lline	!								0.4	T 4 - 4	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	2012			20	013			2	014			20	015			2	2016		Outcome	Institutions	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	in charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on collecting and analyzing statistical data related to criminal justice and issues existing in this field																						Study carried out and recommendation s developed	General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal	

3	Monitoring the implementation of the standardized manner for processing and analysis of statistical data related to criminal justice							1. Implementation plan developed 2. Monitoring carried out 3. Monitoring report prepared and disseminated	Ministry of Internal Affairs, General Prosecutor's Office, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Customs Service, National Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of Justice, Supreme Court of Justice	
Total	l area funding									129,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.4.3. Modification of	1. New system of performance indicators at individual and institutional levels, developed and tested		General Prosecutor's Office,
performance indicators for	2. The new performance indicators system for justice sector institutions, linked and unified, developed,		Ministry of Internal Affairs,
bodies involved in carrying out	tested and applied at individual and institutional levels	The year 2014	Center for Combating
criminal justice and their			Economic Crimes and
collaborators with a view to			Corruption,
ensuring respect for human			Customs Service
rights			

									Im	plem	enta	tion	dead	lline									0.4	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	2012			20	013			20	14			2()15			2	2016		Outcome	Institutions	(thousand
		IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	in charge	lei)
1	Analyzing the system of performance indicators for bodies involved in carrying out criminal justice and their collaborators																						Analysis carried out and recommendation s developed	General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating	

2 Establish and implement a new unified system of performance indicators for all institutions involved in the criminal justice										Unified system of indicators established and implemented	Economic Crimes and Corruption, Customs Service General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Customs Service	
Total area funding						•	•	 •	•		1	28,4
Total funding strategic direction	2.4											1944,1

Strategic dire	ction 2.5. Humanization of criminal proceedings and strengthening the mechanism for safegua	rding the rights	of victims
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.5.1. Liberalization of criminal	1. Study on applied criminal penalties, developed		Ministry of Justice,
proceedings by using sanctions	2. Criminal Code. Criminal Procedure Code and other normative acts amended as recommended and	The year 2016	General Prosecutor's Office
and non-custodial preventive	amendments adopted		
measures for certain categories			
of persons and certain offenses			

									Imp	lemer	ntati	on d	eadli	ne									0.1	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	012			2	013			20	14			2	015			2	016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Evaluation of the applicability of non-custodial preventive measures																						Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	Ministry of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office	
2	Assessing the effectiveness of applying and enforcing custodial																						Study carried out and recommendatio	Ministry of Justice	

	and non-custodial criminal penalties ns developed	
3	Develop the draft amending the Criminal Procedure Code no. 122- XV of 14 March 2003, the Enforcement Code no. 443-XV of December 24, 2004, Criminal Code no. 985-XV of 18 April 2002 and other normative acts Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	
4	Monitoring the implementation of changes related to the liberalization of criminal proceedings by way of using sanctions and preventive non-custodial measures for certain categories of persons and certain offenses 1. Implementation Justice, General Prosecutor's Office 2. Monitoring carried out 3. Monitoring reports prepared and disseminated	
Total	area funding	99,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.5.2. Creating conditions for	1. Study on the application of simplified procedures		Ministry of Justice,
wider application of simplified	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2014	General Prosecutor's Office
procedures, including methods of	3. Mechanisms of application of simplified procedures, implemented		
alternative settlement of cases	4. Methods of alternative settlement of cases, applied		

									In	nplen	nent	tatio	n dea	dline											Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2()12			20	013				2014			20)15			2	016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on the																						Study carried	Ministry of	
	effectiveness of applying																						out and	Justice,	
	simplified procedures																						recommendatio	General	
																							ns developed	Prosecutor's	
																								Office	
2	Develop the draft amending																						Bill prepared	Ministry of	
	criminal procedural law with																						and submitted	Justice,	
	a view to establishing the																						for review to the	General	
	obligation to apply																						Government	Prosecutor's	

simplified procedures, including referring pending cases from the courts to mediators, where reconciliation has resulted in cessation of prosecution, with the safeguarding of							Office	
victim's rights 3 Changing the state guaranteed mediation mechanism with the view to enhance its functionality Total area funding						Draft regulation prepared and submitted to the Government	Ministry of Justice	

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
2.5.3. Strengthening the	Study conducted and recommendations developed		General Prosecutor's Office,
mechanism for safeguarding	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		National Institute of Justice,
victims' rights	3. Mechanisms for safeguarding the rights of victims, implemented	The year 2016	Lawyers Union,
			Ministry of Labour, Social
			Protection and Family,
			Ministry of Finance,
			Ministry of Justice

									Im	plem	ienta	tion (deadl	ine										Ŧ	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20)12			20)13			2()14			2	015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	II	I IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on the existing mechanism for																						Study carried out and	Ministry of Justice,	
	safeguarding the rights of victims of offenses, their protection and rehabilitation																						recommendatio ns developed	General Prosecutor's Office	
2	Develop the draft amending the Criminal Procedure Code no. 122-XV of 14 March 2003, the Criminal Code no. 985-XV of 18 April 2002 and Law no. 105-XVI from 16 May 2008 on the protection of witnesses and other participants in criminal proceedings																						Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office	
3	Develop information																						Information	Ministry of	

	programs for victims of						programs for	Labour, Social	
	offenses on their rights						victims of	Protection and	
	offenses on their rights						offenses,	Family,	
							developed		
							developed	local public administration	
							G 1 0 11	authorities	
4	Reviewing the codes of						Codes of ethics	General	
	ethics of workers of the						reviewd and	Prosecutor's	
	bodies involved in carrying						approved	Office,	
	out criminal justice with a							Ministry of	
	view to establishing the							Internal	
	obligation to adopt							Affairs,	
	behaviours appropriate to							Customs	
	the psycho-emotional state							Service,	
	of the victims of offenses							Center for	
								Combating	
								Economic	
								Crimes and	
								Corruption	
5	Reviewing the opportunity						1. Study carried	Ministry of	
	to use modern technical						out and	Justice,	
	means, such as VCRs, audio,						recommendatio	Superior	
	telephone, etc., during the						ns developed	Council of	
	hearing of witnesses						2. Where	Magistrates	
							appropriate, bill	3.2.1.8.2.2.2.2.2	
							prepared and		
							submitted for		
							review to the		
							Government		
Total	area funding	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			1 1	Government		607,1
	funding strategic direction 2.5								749,6
	funding pillarII								64972,2

PILLAR III. Access to justice and enforcement of judgments

Specific objective: Improving institutional framework and processes which ensure effective access to justice: effective legal aid, examination of cases and enforcement of judgments within a reasonable time, upgrading the status of certain legal professions related to justice system

	0 Strategic direction 3.1. Strengthening the system of State-Guaranteed Legal A	Aid	
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
3.1.1. Strengthening the organization and administration capacity of the state-guaranteed legal aid system	Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted Administrative apparatus of the National Council for State-Guaranteed Legal Aid, created Regional offices staff provided proportionate to the system	The year 2014	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Finance, National Council of State Guaranteed Legal Aid, territorial offices of the National Council of State Guaranteed Legal Aid

									In	ıplen	nenta	tion	deadl	ine									0.4	T	
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			2	013			2	014			2	2015			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	Amount
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	(thousand lei)
1	Develop a draft amending Law no. 198-XVI of 26 July 2007 on State-Guaranteed Legal Aid with a view to creating the administrative apparatus of the National Council for State- Guaranteed Legal Aid and its territorial offices																						1. Working group created 2. Study carried out and recommendations developed 3. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government 4. Staffing plan approved 5. Number of staff employed	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Finance, National Council of State Guaranteed Legal Aid	
2	Conducting a study on staffing needs in the territorial offices of the National Council of State Guaranteed Legal Aid and adjusting the staffing plan																						1. Analysis carried out and recommendatio ns developed 2. Staffing plan adjusted	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Finance, National Council of	

based on analysis results in the context of expanding the competences of the Council 3 Develop the draft amending certain legislation (Civil Procedure Code, Law on State Guaranteed Legal Aid, Contravention Code, etc.) 4 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 5 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 5 State Guaranteed Legal Aid, Contravention Code, etc.) 6 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 6 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 7 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 8 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 9 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 1 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 1 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid
competences of the Council 3 Develop the draft amending certain legislation (Civil Procedure Code, Law on State Guaranteed Legal Aid, Contravention Code, etc.) 4 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 4 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 5 Develop the mechanism for the remover of the normative framework Guaranteed Council of State (Guaranteed Legal Aid) 1. Working group created Justice, National Council of State (Guaranteed Legal Aid) 2. Draft National Council of State (Guaranteed Legal Aid) 3 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid
3 Develop the draft amending certain legislation (Civil Procedure Code, Law on State Guaranteed Legal Aid, Contravention Code, etc.) 4 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 5 Develop the mechanism for the removery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 6 Develop the mechanism for the removery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 7 Develop the mechanism for the removery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 8 Develop the mechanism for the removery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 9 Develop the mechanism for the removery of the costs for the normative framework 9 Develop the mechanism for the removery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 1 Develop the mechanism for the removery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 1 Develop the mechanism for the removery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid
3 Develop the draft amending certain legislation (Civil Procedure Code, Law on State Guaranteed Legal Aid, Contravention Code, etc.) 4 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 5 Develop the mechanism for the remarks a group created and submitted framework guaranteed legal aid 6 Develop the mechanism for the remarks a group created group create
certain legislation (Civil Procedure Code, Law on State Guaranteed Legal Aid, Contravention Code, etc.) 4 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 5 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the normative framework 6 Develop the mechanism for the state guaranteed legal aid 7 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the normative framework 8 Develop the mechanism for the normative framework 9 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the normative framework 1 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the normative framework 1 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the normative framework 1 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the normative framework 2 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the normative framework 3 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid
Procedure Code, Law on State Guaranteed Legal Aid, Contravention Code, etc.) 4 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 4 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the normative framework 5 Earli prepared and submitted Finance, National Council of State Guaranteed Legal Aid 1. Working group created Justice, National amendment of the normative framework Guaranteed
State Guaranteed Legal Aid, Contravention Code, etc.) 4 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 5 I. Working group created Justice, National amendment of the normative framework 6 Council of State Guaranteed Legal Aid 7 I. Working group created Justice, National amendment of the normative framework 8 State Guaranteed
Contravention Code, etc.) defor review to the Government Council of State Guaranteed Legal Aid 4 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 1. Working group created Justice, National amendment of the normative framework Guaranteed
4 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 1. Working group created Justice, National amendment of the normative framework Guaranteed
4 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 1. Working group created Justice, National amendment of the normative framework Guaranteed
4 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 1. Working group created Justice, National amendment of the normative framework Guaranteed
4 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 1. Working group created Justice, 2. Draft National amendment of Council of the normative State framework Guaranteed
4 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 1. Working group created Justice, National amendment of the normative framework Guaranteed
4 Develop the mechanism for the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid 1. Working group created Justice, National amendment of the normative framework Guaranteed
the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid the state guaranteed legal aid the state guaranteed legal aid group created guaranteed 2. Draft National amendment of the normative framework Guaranteed
the recovery of the costs for the state guaranteed legal aid the state guaranteed legal aid the state guaranteed legal aid group created guaranteed 2. Draft National amendment of the normative framework Guaranteed
the state guaranteed legal aid 2. Draft amendment of the normative framework Guaranteed 3. Draft amendment of the normative framework Guaranteed
amendment of the normative State framework Guaranteed
the normative State framework Guaranteed
framework Guaranteed
developed and Legal Aid
submitted for
review to the
Government Government
5 Develop the mechanism to Bill prepared Ministry of
access databases to verify and submitted Justice,
the revenues of applicants for review to the National
for the state guaranteed legal Government Council of
Guaranteed
Legal Aid,
Ministry of
Information
Technologies
Communicatio
ns,
Agency for
Land
Relations and
Cadastre
6 Monitoring the 1. Monitoring Ministry of
implementation of rules in carried out Justice,

	the field of the state-												2. Monitoring	National	
	guaranteed legal aid and,												report prepared	Council of	
	where appropriate, adjusting												and	State	
	the normative and												disseminated	Guaranteed	
	institutional framework													Legal Aid,	
														Lawyers	
														Union	
Total a	rea funding	•	•			•	•	•		•	•	•			2718,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
3.1.2. Improving the quality and	1. Mechanism to ensure the quality of the state guaranteed legal aid services, developed and implemented		National Council of State
accessibility of the state	2. Study on financial needs and the modality to provide state guaranteed legal aid services conducted and		Guaranteed Legal Aid,
guaranteed legal aid services	recommendations developed	The year 2014	territorial offices of the
(criminal and non criminal cases)	3. Mixed system of providing state guaranteed legal aid by the means of public defenders and lawyers		National Council of State
	providing state guaranteed legal aid on request, implemented		Guaranteed Legal Aid,
	4. Financial resources allocated for state guaranteed legal aid services corresponding to the real needs of		Lawyers Union
	the system		
	5. Training for public defenders and other lawyers who provide state guaranteed legal aid on the request,		
	provided		

									Im	plem	enta	tion (leadl	ine									0.4	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	12			20	13			2(14			2	015			- 2	2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	П	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Reviewing criteria for selecting lawyers who provide qualified state guaranteed legal aid and ensuring transparency in the process of selecting the lawyers																						The draft amendment to the Regulation on the contest to select lawyers for providing qualified state guaranteed legal aid, developed and approved	National Council of State Guaranteed Legal Aid, Lawyers Union	
2	Develop the mechanism for monitoring the quality of state-guaranteed legal aid																						Methodology developed and approved	National Council of State Guaranteed Legal Aid, Lawyers Union	
3	Continuous monitoring of the quality of state-																						1. Monitoring carried out	National Council of	

	guaranteed legal aid											2. Annual	State	
	gamanata a argua ara											evaluation	Guaranteed	
												reports prepared	Legal Aid,	
												and	Lawyers	
												disseminated	Union	
4	Creating public defenders											1. Number of	Ministry of	
	offices in the residence											offices	Justice,	
	localities of the territorial											established and	National	
	offices of the National											equipped	Council of	
	Council of State-Guaranteed											2. Increased	State	
	Legal Aid											number of	Guaranteed	
												public defenders	Legal Aid,	
													Lawyers	
													Union	
5	Continuous methodic											1. Number of	National	
	training and assistance for											courses	Council of	
	persons authorized to											conducted	State	
	provide state guaranteed											2. Number of	Guaranteed	
	legal aid											people trained	Legal Aid,	
												3.	Lawyers	
												Methodological	Union,	
												guides	National	
												distributed	Institute of	
													Justice	
6	Develop methodology for											Methodology	National	
	planning the costs for state											developed	Council of	
	guaranteed legal aid services												State	
													Guaranteed	
													Legal Aid,	
													Ministry of	
													Finance,	
													Lawyers	
<u> </u>					1		 		_	-		1.0.1	Union	
7	Conducting a study on new											1. Study carried	National	
	methods of providing											out and	Council of	
	qualified state guaranteed											recommendatio	State	
	legal aid and, depending on											ns developed	Guaranteed	
	study results, implementing											2. If	Legal Aid,	
	a pilot project											appropriate, the	Ministry of	1
												pilot project	Justice	
	D 1						 		_	-		implemented	NT / 1	
8	Develop criteria (standards)											1. Working	National	

	or determining the complexity of case for contravention, civil or developed and developed and approved approved Lawyers unaranteed legal aid to errors to pay these services group created council of 2. Criteria (state of 2. Criteria (state of 2. Criteria (state of 3. Criteria (state of	
Tota	ea funding	2168,3

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
3.1.3. Promoting legal culture and access to legal information;	Legal education campaigns conducted, including through the involvement of NGOs in public-private partnerships	The year 2016	National Council of State Guaranteed Legal Aid,
reducing legal nihilism	2. The primary legal aid system through a functional network of para-lawyers in the rural communities, which also includes social support network, created and functional 3. Primary legal aid mechanisms for certain categories of vulnerable people in urban area, tested	,	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family

									Im	ıplen	ienta	tion	dead	lline										T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20)12			20	13			2	014			2	2015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Testing the system of primary legal aid provided by the community paralawyers																						1. Testing carried out 2. Assessment report produced, recommendation s developed	National Council of State Guaranteed Legal Aid, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and	
2	Conducting a study on the mechanism of providing primary legal aid by public associations																						Study carried out and recommendation s developed	Family National Council of State Guaranteed Legal Aid	
3	Creating the mechanism for providing primary legal aid by public associations																						Draft amending the regulatory framework,	National Council of State	

	, <u> </u>			<u> </u>	 1 1 1	1 0 1 1		1
						drafted and	Guaranteed	
						submitted for	Legal Aid,	
						review to the	Ministry of	
						Government	Justice	
4	Monitoring primary legal					 Monitoring 	National	
	aid provided by public					carried out	Council of	
	associations					2. Monitoring	State	
						report prepared	Guaranteed	
						and	Legal Aid,	
						disseminated	Ministry of	
						anssemmatea	Justice	
5	Institutionalization of the					1. Number of	National	
3						beneficiaries of	Council of	
	primary legal aid system for							
	rural and urban localities					primary legal aid	State	
						2. The number of		
						providers of	Legal Aid,	
						primary legal aid	Ministry of	
						services	Justice,	
							Ministry of	
							Labour, Social	
							Protection and	
							Family	
							,	
6	Conducting the study on the					Study carried out	National	
	need for new methods of					and	Council of	
	primary legal aid					recommendations	State	
	primary regarding					developed	Guaranteed	
						developed	Legal Aid,	
							Ministry of	
							Justice	
	Implementing never seether de		 			1 The		
7	Implementing new methods			1		1. The area of	National	
	of primary legal aid through					implementation	Council of	
	pilot projects			1		of the pilot	State	
						project	Guaranteed	
				1		identified	Legal Aid	
				1		2. Pilot projects		
						developed and		
				1		implemented		
8	Conducting public					1. Number of	National	
	information campaigns on					radio and TV	Council of	
	state guaranteed legal aid					broadcasts	State	
1	State Buaranteed regai and					2. The number	Guaranteed	
1						L Z. THE HUHIDEL	Tuaraniceu	

									of articles published in newspapers 3. Number of guides distributed to beneficiaries	Legal Aid, Ministry of Justice, Lawyers Union, "Teleradio- Moldova" Company	
Tota	l area funding										4523,1
Tota	I funding strategic direction3.1.							<u> </u>			9409,5

Strategic direction 3.2. Institutional capacity building and professional development of representatives of the justice system related professions (lawyers, notaries, mediators, bailiffs, legal experts, administrators of insolvency proceedings, translators / interpreters)

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
3.2.1. Encourage capacity	1. Study on the functioning of the justice system related professions developed recommendations set out		Ministry of Justice,
building for representatives of	2. The draft amendment to the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2014	self-administration bodies of
the justice system related	3. Including the representatives of the justice system related professions to the processes related to justice		justice system related
professions at the level of	sector reform		professions,
professional unions, with			Ministry of Finance
particular emphasis on			
management skills			

									In	nple	men	tatioı	ı dead	lline								0.4		Amount
N	Action title	2011		201	2		2	2013			2	2014			20	015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	II	п	IV	I	II	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on the functioning of each of the professions related to justice system																					1.Studies conducted and recommendation s developed 2. Number of public discussions carried out	Ministry of Justice, self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
2	Develop the draft amending Law no.113 of 17 June 2010 on bailiffs and Enforcement Code no. 443-XV of 24 December, 2004																					Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, National Union of Bailiffs Ministry of	
] 3	Develop the draft amending																					Bill prepared	Ministry of	of

	Law no. 264-XVI of 11 December 2008 on the authorization and payment of interpreters and		and submitted for review to the Government Justice	
	translators engaged by the			
	Superior Council of			
	Magistrates, Ministry of			
	Justice, the prosecutor's			
	office, criminal prosecution			
	bodies, courts, notaries,			
	lawyers and bailiffs			
4	Developing a new Law on Notary		1. Working group created 2. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government Ministra	
Total	al area funding	, ,		114,4

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
3.2.2. Developing quality	1. Quality standards developed and adopted		Ministry of Justice,
standards for services provided	2. Quality assurance mechanisms developed and implemented	The year 2014	self-administration bodies of
by representatives of the justice			justice system related
system related professions			professions

									Ir	nplen	nenta	atio	n dea	dline											Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	012			2	013			2	2014			20	015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Developing quality standards for acts / actions of the representatives of each profession related to justice system																						1.Working groups established 2. Standards developed and approved	Self- administration bodies of justice system related professions, Ministry of Justice	
2	Develop templates collections of documents prepared by the bailiff, lawyer, legal expert, authorized administrator, notary, mediator																						Collections of templates of documents, prepared and distributed	Self- administration bodies of justice system related professions,	

													Ministry of Justice	
Total	area funding													235,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
3.2.3. Development of integrated,	1. The study on the current pricing mechanisms developed, recommendations set out		Ministry of Justice,
clear and accurate pricing	2. Recommendations and / or normative documents on determining tariffs for services, developed and	The year 2014	Ministry of Finance,
mechanisms for services rendered	adopted		Ministry of Economy,
			self-administration bodies of
			justice system related
			professions

									In	nplen	nenta	tior	dea	dlin	e										Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			20)13			20	14			20	15				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on the pricing mechanisms for the services provided by the representatives of each of the professions related to justice system																						Study carried out and recommendation s developed	Ministry of Justice, self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
2	Development of draft normative acts on the pricing mechanisms for the services provided by the representatives of each of the professions related to justice system																						Draft normative acts prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, self- administration bodies of justice system related professions, Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Economy	
Total	area funding	1		1		ı.		1	•															. J	114,2

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
3.2.4. Establishing clear and	1. Study on the accession into each profession developed, recommendations set out		Ministry of Justice,
transparent merit-based criteria,	2. The draft amendment to the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2014	self-administration bodies of
for accession to the profession			justice system related

		professions	
	Implementation deadline		

									In	nplen	nenta	tior	ı dea	dlin	e										Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	012			20	013			20	14			20	015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on the criteria for accession to the profession																						Study carried out and recommendation s developed	Ministry of Justice, self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
2	Development of draft normative acts aiming to establish clear, transparent and merit-based criteria for accession to the profession																						Draft normative acts prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
Total	area funding																								42,8

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
3.2.5. Providing initial and	1. Initial and continuous training program developed and implemented (for each profession)		Ministry of Justice,
continuous training to	2. Initial and continuous training courses carried out	The year 2016	National Institute of Justice,
representatives of the justice			self-administration bodies of
system related professions,			justice system related
including continuous training			professions
together with expanding the role			
of the National Institute of			
Justice			

									In	nplen	nenta	atio	n dea	dlin	ie								Onton	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			20	013			20	014			20)15			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop curriculum for the initial and continuous training for the																						Curriculum developed	National Institute of Justice,	

	representatives of the justice system related professions										self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
2	Conducting initial and continuous training courses for the representatives of the justice system related professions									Number of courses organized Number of people trained	National Institute of Justice, self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
Total	area funding											28,4

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
3.2.6. Promotion and	Standards / codes of ethics adopted and implemented for each profession		Ministry of Justice,
implementation of ethical		The year 2014	self-administration bodies of
standards in exercising justice			justice system related
system related professions			professions

									Iı	nplen	nenta	tior	ı dea	dlin	e								0.1	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	012			20	013			20	14			20	015			20)16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	П	Ш	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on the ethical standards laid down in Codes of Ethics of the representatives of the justice system related professions																						Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	Ministry of Justice, self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
2	Development of draft new codes of ethics or drafts amending the existing codes of ethics																						Draft normative acts developed and approved	Self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
Total	area funding																								85,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
3.2.7. Strengthening the system of	1. Comparative study on models of civil liability insurance developed and recommendations formulated		Ministry of Justice,
civil liability insurance	2. The civil liability insurance system implemented	The year 2016	self-administration bodies of
	3. Mechanism to monitor the civil liability insurance system, established	-	justice system related
			professions

									Ir	npler	nent	ation	ı dea	dlin	ie										Amount
No.	Action title	2011			012			_	013	T ***			14	l xx z	_		015	***			16	***	Outcome indicators	Institutions in charge	(thousand
		IV	1	II	III	IV	1	II	III	IV	1	II	111	IV	1	П	III	IV	1	II	III	IV	indicator 5	chui ge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on the system of professional civil liability insurance																						Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	Ministry of Justice, self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
2	Development of draft new normative acts or amending existing normative acts on the system of professional civil liability insurance																						Draft normative acts prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
3	Establishing a mechanism for monitoring the system of professional civil liability insurance																						1. Monitoring carried out 2. Monitoring reports prepared and disseminated	Ministry of Justice, self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
Total	area funding							-																	42,8

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
3.2.8. Strengthening the	Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
mechanisms for disciplinary	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2015	self-administration bodies of
liability	3. Functional mechanisms for disciplinary accountability for each profession related to justice system		justice system related
			professions
		1	T
No Action title	Implementation deadline	Outcome	Institutions in Amount

No.	Action title	Implementation deadline	Outcome	Institutions in	Amount
-----	--------------	-------------------------	---------	-----------------	--------

		2011		2	012			20)13			20	14			2(15			20)16		indicators	charge	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV		.	lei)
1	Conducting a study on the disciplinary liability mechanisms for each profession related to justice system																						Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	Ministry of Justice, self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
2	Development of draft amending the normative framework on disciplinary liability mechanisms for each profession related to justice system																						Draft normative acts prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
Total	area funding																								114,2

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
3.2.9. Establish a single tax	Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
regime for social security and	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2013	Ministry of Finance,
medical insurance for the	3. Methodological recommendations concerning the tax regime for social security and medical insurance		Ministry of Labour, Social
representatives of the justice	for the representatives of the justice system related professions, developed		Protection and Family,
system related professions			Ministry of Health,
			self-administration bodies of
			justice system related
			professions

									In	ıplen	1ent	atior	ı dea	dline											
	Action title	2011		20	12			20	013			2	2014			2	015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	Amount
No		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	Ι	П	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	(thousand lei)
1	Conducting a study on the existing tax regime for social security and medical insurance for the representatives of the justice system related professions																						Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and	

														Family, Ministry of Health, self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
2	Develop the draft amending the legal framework with a view to uniform the tax regime for social security and medical insurance												Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family, Ministry of Health, self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
	area funding														42,7
Total	I funding strategic direction 3.	2											_		820,9

	Strategic direction 3.3. Effective enforcement of judgments			
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in	n charge
3.3.1. Assessment of the current	1. Impact assessment conducted		Ministry of Justic	ee,
regulatory framework impact on	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2012	National Union o	f Bailiffs
the enforcement of judgments				
and the mechanism for				
implementing these rulings,				
including the rulings of the				
ECHR				
No.	Implementation deadline	Outcome	Institutions in	Amount

	Action title	2011		20	12			2	013			201	14			20	015				2016		indicators	charge	(thousand
	11000011	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	П	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	11141041015	eminge	lei)
1	Monitoring the impact of current regulations in the field of enforcement of judgments, including the rulings of the ECHR																						1. Monitoring carried out 2. Monitoring report prepared and disseminated	Ministry of Justice, National Union of Bailiffs	
2	Develop the draft for amending the normative framework aiming to eliminate the deficiencies in the enforcement the judgments																						Draft amending the regulatory framework, drafted and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, National Union of Bailiffs	
3	Develop the regulation on enforcement of the rulings of the European Court of Human Rights																						Draft regulation, developed and submitted to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Finance, National Union of Bailiffs	
Total	area funding		I	1				1		1	1	I	ı	ı				1	ı	ı	ı			L	213,6

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
3.3.2. Institutional and functional	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		Ministry of Justice,
consolidation of the new system	2. Self-administration bodies of bailiffs, consolidated	The year 2012	National Union of Bailiffs
of private bailiffs			

]	mple	men	tatio	ı deadl	ine								0.4	T 111 11	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	12			20	013			2	2014			20)15				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on the																						Study carried	Ministry of	
	activity of the Licensing																						out and	Justice,	
	Committee and Disciplinary																						recommendatio	National	
	Board with a view to																						ns developed	Union of	
	identifying ways for																							Bailiffs	
	institutional and functional																								
	consolidation of these																								

	institutions																		
2	Develop the draft amending																Draft amending	Ministry of	
	the normative framework			- 1													the regulatory	Justice,	
	aiming for institutional and			- 1													framework,	National	
	functional consolidation of			- 1													drafted and	Union of	
	the Licensing Committee			- 1													submitted for	Bailiffs	
	and Disciplinary Board			- 1													review to the		
																	Government		
Tota	l area funding	•	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	 <u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	•	<u> </u>			4	2,7				

Specific intervention areaImplementation milestonesDeadlineInstitutions in charge3.3.3. Improving information management and communications system by providing access to databases1. Regulatory framework for providing access to databases, developed and adoptedMinistry of Justice, National Union of Bailiffs, authorities managing databases

											Imp	plem	entati	on dea	dline								Outcome	Institutions in	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20)12				2013				2014			2	015				2016		indicators	charge	(thousand
		IV	I	II I	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	П	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV			lei)
1	Conducting a study for the purpose of establishing deficiencies in the information management and communication system, which impact the enforcement of judgments																						Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	National Union of Bailiffs, Ministry of Justice	
2	Develop the draft for amending the normative framework aiming to eliminate the deficiencies in the information management and communications system, including regarding the access to databases																						Draft amending the regulatory framework, drafted and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, National Union of Bailiffs, authorities managing databases	
Total	area funding																					-			42,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions i	in charge
3.3.4. Ensuring observance of the	Mechanism for ensuring the observance of the reasonable time for the enforcement of judgments,		Ministry of Justi	ce,
reasonable time of enforcement of	developed and adopted	The year 2016	Ministry of Fina	nce,
judgments			National Union	of Bailiffs
No.	Implementation deadline	Outcome	Institutions in	Amount

	Action title	2011		20)12				2013			2	014			2	015		1		2016		indicators	charge	(thousand
	Tittle title	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicator s	enui ge	lei)
1	Organizing and conducting training courses for judges and bailiffs on the new mechanism for compensation for damage caused by violating the right to trial within a reasonable time or the right to the enforcement of the judgment within a reasonable time																						Number of courses conducted Number of people trained	National Institute of Justice, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Finance, National Union of Bailiffs	
2	Monitoring the implementation of Law no. 87 of 21 April 2011 on compensation from the State funds for damage caused by violating the right to trial within a reasonable time or the right to the enforcement of the judgment within a reasonable time																						1. Monitoring carried out 2. Monitoring report prepared and disseminated	Ministry of Justice, National Union of Bailiffs, Ministry of Finance	
Total	l area funding																								592,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
3.3.5. Improvement of the	1. Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
mechanism for recognition and	2. The mechanism for recognition and enforcement of judgments issued by foreign Courts, improved	The year 2014	National Union of Bailiffs
enforcement of judgments issued			
by foreign Courts			

										Imp	oleme	entati	on de	eadlin	ie								0 1	T	Amount
No.	A . (* (*4)	2011		2	012			2	2013			2	014			20	15			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
	Action title	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on the																						Study conducted	Ministry of	
	effectiveness of the																						and	Justice,	
	mechanism for recognition																						recommendatio	National	
	and enforcement of																						ns developed	Union of	
	judgments issued by foreign																							Bailiffs,	
	Courts																							Superior	
																								Council of	
																								Magistrates	

2	Develop the draft amending the legal framework regarding the mechanism for recognition and enforcement of judgments issued by foreign Courts															Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, National Union of Bailiffs, Superior Council of Magistrates	
3	Organize training courses for judges and bailiffs on the topic of recognition and enforcement of judgments issued by foreign Courts															1. Training plan developed 2. Number of courses held 3. Number of trained judges and bailiffs	National Institute of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, National Union of Bailiffs	
Total	area funding		ı									I			l			472,0
Total funding strategic direction3.3											1363,5							
Total funding pillarIII											11593,9							

PILLAR IV. Integrity of justice sector actors

Specific objective: Promoting and implementing the principle of zero tolerance for corruption events in the justice sector

	Strategic direction 4.1. Efficient fight against corruption in the justice sector		
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
4.1.1. Substantially raising wages	1. The draft amendment to the regulatory framework on the payroll of the justice sector actors developed		Ministry of Justice,
for the actors in the justice sector	and adopted	The year 2016	Ministry of Finance,
and simplifying the criteria for	2. The substantial increase in salaries of the justice sector actors		Ministry of Labour, Social
calculating salaries			Protection and Family

]	Imple	men	tatior	ı dea	dline									0-4	I4:44::	Amount
No.		2011		20)12			2	013			20	14			2	015			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
	Action title	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Changing the legal																						Draft amending	Ministry of	

	framework with a view to simplifying the calculation of wages and social guarantees reassessment of justice sector actors															the regulatory framework, drafted and submitted for review to the Government	Justice, Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family	
2	Gradual increase of salaries of justice sector actors															The percentage increase of salaries of justice sector actors	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family	
Total area funding 1110698.													1110698,6					

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
4.1.2. Strengthening the	1. Draft amending the normative framework on declaration of income, property and personal interests,		Ministry of Justice,
mechanism of verification of of	developed and adopted	The year 2014	National Integrity
income and property statements,	2. Increasing capacities of the authorities responsible for verifying the statements of income and property,		Commission,
declarations of personal interests,	declarations of personal interests and the regime of incompatibilities		Ministry of Finance,
monitoring compliance with the	3. Increasing public trust in authorities responsible for verifying statements of income and property and		Center for Combating
legal provisions on conflict of	declarations of personal interests		Economic Crimes and
interests and incompatibilities			Corruption,
imposed on persons exercising a			Superior Council of
public dignity function, judges,			Magistrates,
prosecutors, civil servants and			Superior Council of
persons with leadership positions			Prosecutors

										Imple	emen	tatio	n dea	dline	•										Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2()12			2	2013			2	014			2	2015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop the draft law on the																						Bills drafted and	Ministry of	
	National Integrity																						adopted	Justice,	
	Commission and the draft																							Ministry of	
	amending the legislation																							Finance,	
	governing the mechanism of																							Center for	
	verification of statements of																							Combating	
	income and property,																							Economic	
	declarations of personal																							Crimes and	
	interests and the mechanism																							Corruption	
	for resolving conflicts of																								
	interest and monitoring the																								

		 	 		, ,	 	•	Г	
	compliance with the regime								
	of incompatibilities imposed								
	on persons exercising a								
	public dignity function,								
	judges, prosecutors, civil								
	servants and persons with								
	leadership positions								
2	Appointment of the National						Members	Parliament,	
	Integrity Commission						nominated and	National	
	members and selecting its						staff selected	Integrity	
	staff							Commission	
3	Designation of persons		1				Number of	Central and	
	responsible for the collection						persons	local public	
	of statements of income and						designated as	authorities	
	property and declarations of						responsible for		
	personal interests within the						the collection of		
	central and local public						statements of		
	authorities						income and		
	addiorities						property and		
							declarations of		
							personal		
							interests		
4	Creating the web page of the						Website created	National	
7	National Integrity						and functional	Integrity	
	Commission						and functional	Commission	
5	Drafting and approving the		+ + +	+ +		+ + +	Instruction	National	
3	instruction on the modality								
							developed and	Integrity	
	to fill the statements of						approved	Commission	
	income and property and			1 1					
	declarations of personal								
	interests						1 27 1 6	NI di 1	
6	Training the persons						1. Number of	National	
	responsible for the collection						courses	Integrity	
	of statements of income and						conducted	Commission,	
	property and declarations of						2. Number of	Academy of	
	personal interests						people trained	Public	
								Administratio	
								n	
Total	area funding								59,2

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
4.1.3. Reviewing the legal	1. Draft amending the regulatory framework with a view to a more severe sanctioning for acts of		Ministry of Justice,

framework with the view to deter	corruption, developed and adopted; standardization of judicial practice	The year 2015	Center for Combating
the acts of corruption and a more	2. Survey attesting the decrease in public willingness to commit acts corruption		Economic Crimes and
severe sanctioning for offenses	3. The number of people sentenced for corruption		Corruption,
related to the acts of corruption			General Prosecutor's Office,
in the justice sector; increasing			Supreme Court of Justice,
the efficiency of judicial coercion			Ministry of Internal Affairs

		Implementation deadline						Outcome	Institutions in	Amount															
No.	Action title	2011)12				013)14	T			015				16		indicators	charge	(thousand
	Action title	IV	ı	II	III	IV	1	II	III	IV	l I	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	marcators	charge	lei)
1	Develop a draft amending the regulatory framework with a view to a more severe sanctioning for acts of corruption, including depriving those convicted for corruption from the right to enjoy the social guarantees related to the positions held																						Draft amending the regulatory framework, drafted and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family, Superior Council of Magistrates, Superior Council of Prosecutors, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption	
3	Developing the institution of (criminal and civil) confiscation of assets acquired, including for persons found guilty of committing acts of corruption Organizing training for judges and prosecutors																						Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government 1. Number of trainings	Ministry of Justice, National Integrity Commission National Institute of	
4	aiming to standardize the judicial practice on corruption offenses Conducting a survey on														L								conducted 2. Number of people trained Survey	Justice Ministry of	

public tolerance for conducted Justice,													
sector Combating													
Economic Original and Association (Contract of the Contract of													
Crimes and													
Corruption													
5 Analysis and dissemination 1. Analysis Ministry of													
of information on the carried out and Justice,													
dynamics of cases of recommendatio Supreme													
conviction for corruption in seveloped Court of													
the justice sector 2. Information Justice,													
disseminated Center for													
Combating													
Economic Economic													
Crimes and													
Corruption,													
Prosecutor's													
Office													
6 Development of Guidelines Supreme													
methodological guidelines developed Court of													
for law enforcement in published and Justice,													
corruption cases distributed Center for													
Combating													
Economic Economic													
Crimes and													
Corruption,													
General General													
Prosecutor's													
Total area funding													

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
4.1.4. Clear regulation of the	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		Superior Council of
behaviour of judges, prosecutors,	2. Establish an operational mechanism for reporting corruption within the institution	The year 2016	Magistrates,
investigators, lawyers and bailiffs			General Prosecutor's Office,
in relation to other people with a			Center for Combating
view to combat corruption;			Economic Crimes and
creating a mechanism to			Corruption,
safeguard the behavioural			Ministry of Internal Affairs,
integrity			National Institute of Justice,
			Academy "Stefan cel

	Mare",
	Lawyers Union, Ministry of
	Justice

	A ation title]	Imple	emen	tatio	n dea	dline	:								0.4	T 4'4 4'	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	012			2	2013			20	14			2	015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop the regulatory framework to govern the interaction and communication of the judge with the parties in the trial and third parties																						Draft normative act, prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, Lawyers Union, National Union of Bailiffs	
2	Capacity building to ensure anti-corruption behaviour by developing methodological recommendations																						Recommendations developed and approved	Superior Council of Magistrates, Superior Council of Prosecutors, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
3	Organizing training courses on anti-corruption behaviour for the representatives of the justice sector				Ī																		1. Number of training courses conducted 2. Number of	National Institute of Justice, Center for	

										people trained	Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Academy "Stefan cel Mare", self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
4	Improving the legal framework with the view to specify the discretion margin of the representatives of the justice sector and developing a draft amending the relevant normative framework									1. Working group created 2. Draft normative acts prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Supreme Court of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Superior Council of Magistrates, Superior Council of Prosecutors, self- administration bodies of justice system related professions	
5	Develop the draft law					1				Draft normative	Ministry of	

									1 1	1 1		1 , 1	т			
	governing the application of											act, prepared	Justice,			
	the integrity test to the											and submitted	Center for			
	justice sector representatives											for review to the	Combating			
												Government	Economic			
													Crimes and			
													Corruption,			
													Superior			
													Council of			
													Magistrates,			
													Superior			
													Council of			
													Prosecutors,			
													self-			
													administration			
													bodies of			
	justice system															
related																
professions																
6 Monitoring the 1.Monitoring Ministry of																
	implementation of the carried out Justice,															
	provisions on integrity test 2. Monitoring Center for															
												report prepared	Combating			
												and	Economic			
												disseminated	Crimes and			
Corruption																
Total area funding											442,5					
						Total area funding										

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
4.1.5. Developing and	1. The study developed and recommendations formulated		Ministry of Justice,
implementing effective tools to	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2016	Intelligence and Security
prevent the interference in the	3. Prevention tools designed and effectively implemented		Service,
work of justice and preventing			Center for Combating
corrupt behaviour of actors in the			Economic Crimes and
justice sector			Corruption,
			Ministry of Internal Affairs,
			Superior Council of
			Magistrates,
			General Prosecutor's Office,
			Customs Service

No.			Imple	mentation deadline	1		Outcome	Institutions in	Amount
110.	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	indicators	charge	(thousand

	Action title	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV			lei)
1	Conducting a study on the instruments to prevent the interference in the work of justice and to prevent corrupt behaviour																						Study conducted and recommendations developed	Ministry of Justice, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption	
2	Develop a draft amending the regulatory framework with the view to determine the modality and procedure for applying the tools to prevent the interference in the work of justice and prevent corrupt behaviour																						Draft amending the regulatory framework, drafted and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office	
3	Purchasing the equipment needed to implement the tools to prevent the interference in the work of justice and prevent corrupt behaviour																						Equipment purchased and installed	Intelligence and Security Service, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Ministry of Internal Affairs	
4	Implementation of new tools to prevent the interference in the work of justice and to prevent the corrupt behaviour within a pilot project																						1. The project implementation area identified 2. Pilot project developed and implemented	Intelligence and Security Service, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption,	

_	Т		 	-	-	-				 	 1	-1	1	1	 Г	25	
																Ministry of	
																Internal	
																Affairs,	
																Superior	
																Council of	
																Magistrates,	
																General	
																Prosecutor's	
																Office	
5	Informing society about the														Number of press	Center for	
	implementation of new tools														releases	Combating	
	to prevent the interference in														published	Economic	
	the work of justice and														puensieu	Crimes and	
	prevent corrupt behaviour															Corruption,	
	prevent contapt conaviour															Ministry of	
																Internal	
																Affairs,	
																Superior	
																Council of	
																Magistrates,	
																General	
																Prosecutor's	
															D'11 1	Office	
6	Amending the regulatory														Bill prepared	Ministry of	
	framework with the view to														and submitted	Justice,	
	establishing the polygraph														for review to the	General	
	testing requirement of														Government	Prosecutor's	
	candidates for the position of															Office,	
	judge, prosecutor and															Center for	
	criminal investigator															Combating	
																Economic	
																Crimes and	
																Corruption,	
																Ministry of	
																Internal	
																Affairs	
7	Purchasing polygraph														Equipment	Superior	
	devices for detecting														purchased	Council of	
	simulated behaviour														•	Magistrates,	
																General	
																Prosecutor's	
																Office,	
L	I .	1						1	1					1		S 11100,	

		I						1				1		Center for	
														Combating	
														Economic	
														Crimes and	
														Corruption,	
														Ministry of	
														Internal	
														Affairs,	
														Customs	
														Service	
8	Conduct training courses for												1. Number of	Superior	
	staff on using the polygraphs												courses held	Council of	
	to detect simulated												2. Number of	Magistrates,	
	behaviour												people trained	General	
													1 F	Prosecutor's	
														Office,	
														Center for	
														Combating	
														Economic	
														Crimes and	
														Corruption,	
														Ministry of	
														Internal	
														Affairs,	
														Allairs,	
														Ministry of	
														Justice,	
														Customs	
														Service,	
														National	
														Institute of	
														Justice,	
														Academy	
														"Stefan cel	
														Mare"	
9	Implementing and operating		Ī										Number of tests	Superior	
	the polygraph testing												carried out	Council of	
	mechanism													Magistrates,	
														General	
														Prosecutor's	
														Office,	
														Center for	
														Center for Combating	

																	Economic	
																	Crimes and	
																	Corruption,	
																	Ministry of	
																	Internal	
																	Affairs,	
																	Customs	
																	Service	
Tota	l area funding	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•			2121,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
4.1.6. Improving the capacity of	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2016	General Prosecutor's Office,
units in charge of ensuring	2. Employees of the units in charge of ensuring internal security, trained		Center for Combating
internal security	3. Informing society about the units in charge of ensuring internal the security		Economic Crimes and
			Corruption,
			Ministry of Internal Affairs,
			Ministry of Justice

									I	mple	ment	ation	deac	lline											Amount
No.	Action title	2011		201	12			2	2013			20)14			2	2015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Amending the regulatory framework with the view to institutionalize and organize the work of the units in charge of ensuring internal security																						Draft normative acts prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption	
2	Training the members of the units responsible for ensuring internal security																						1. Number of courses held 2. Number of people trained	General Prosecutor's Office, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption,	

3	Informing society about the units in charge of ensuring internal the security								Number of press releases posted on the websites of the institutions concerned	Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice General Prosecutor's Office, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice	
	l area funding										489,8
Tota	l funding strategic direction	4.1									1114998,3

Strategic direction 4.2. Stre	ngthening the mechanisms for the implementation of anti-corruption ethical and conduct stand	ards across all ju	astice sector institutions
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
4.2.1. Standardization and	Improvement and standardization of the provisions contained in ethical codes	The year 2014	Ministry of Justice,
refinement of ethical standards			Center for Combating
for all actors across the justice			Economic Crimes and
sector			Corruption,
			Superior Council of
			Magistrates,
			General Prosecutor's Office,
			Ministry of Internal Affairs,
			State Chancellery,
			unions of justice system
			related professions

									Im	plem	entat	ion d	eadli	ne									0.4	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		201	12			20)13			20	14			2	2015			20)16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Change or, where																						Codes of ethics	Ministry of	

	annunniata davalanina						l ahamaad /	Instina	
	appropriate, developing						changed /	Justice,	
	codes of professional						developed and	Center for	
	ethics for all members of						approved	Combating	
	the justice sector							Economic	
								Crimes and	
								Corruption,	
								Superior	
								Council of	
								Magistrates,	
								General	
								Prosecutor's	
								Office,	
								Ministry of	
								Internal	
								Affairs,	
								unions of	
								justice system	
								related	
								professions	
2	Develop detailed guidance						Guidance on the	Ministry of	
	on the application of codes						application of	Justice,	
	of professional ethics						codes of	Center for	
	or proressional curios						professional	Combating	
							ethics,	Economic	
							developed and	Crimes and	
							distributed	Corruption,	
							distributed	Superior	
								Council of	
								Magistrates,	
								General	
								Prosecutor's	
								Office,	
								Ministry of	
								Internal	
								Affairs,	
								unions of	
								justice system related	
Tetal	and for the							professions	96.4
I otal	area funding								86,4

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge

4.2.2. Regular training of justice	1. C
sector actors in the area of	2. Ju
professional ethics	

Courses organized and conducted
Justice sector actors trained in professional ethics

The year 2016

National Institute of Justice, Academy "Stefan cel Mare"

									Imj	plem	entati	ion d	eadli	ne										.	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	12			20	13			20	14			2	2015			2	016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Curriculum development in the area of professional ethics for the representatives of the justice sector																						Curriculum developed and approved	National Institute of Justice, Academy "Stefan cel Mare"	
2	Organizing and conducting training courses in the field of professional ethics for the representatives of the justice sector																						1. Number of courses held 2. Number of people trained	National Institute of Justice, Academy "Stefan cel Mare", unions of justice system related professions	
Total	area funding			1																				1 4	549,2

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
4.2.3. Improving ethics	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		Ministry of Justice,
compliance mechanisms and	2. Number and results of disciplinary proceedings	The year 2016	Center for Combating
capacity building for bodies			Economic Crimes and
responsible for professional			Corruption,
ethics			Superior Council of
			Magistrates,
			Superior Council of
			Prosecutors,
			Ministry of Internal Affairs,
			unions of justice system
			related professions

No.	Implementation deadline	Outcome	Institutions in	Amount

		2011		20	12			20	013			2	2014			201	15			20)16		indicators	charge	(thousand
	Action title	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	П	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV		g -	lei)
1	Change or, where appropriate, develop the necessary regulatory framework for the activity of the bodies charged with investigating the professional ethics violations																						Draft normative acts prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, unions of justice system related professions	
3	Organizing and conducting training courses for members of the bodies charged with investigating violations of the professional ethics Monitoring the new																						Number of courses held Number of members trained Monitoring	National Institute of Justice, Academy "Stefan cel Mare", unions of justice system related professions Ministry of	
3	mechanisms for investigating violations of the professional ethics																						carried out 2. Monitoring report prepared and disseminated 3. Number of disciplinary proceedings	Justice, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Superior Council of	

											initiated and the	Magistrates,	
											results thereof	General	
												Prosecutor's	
												Office,	
												Ministry of	
												Internal	
												Affairs,	
												unions of	
												justice system	
												related	
												professions	
Tota	l area funding												798,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
4.2.4. Public awareness	Number of awareness campaigns conducted		Ministry of Justice,
campaigns on the professional		The year 2016	Center for Combating
ethics of justice sector actors			Economic Crimes and
			Corruption,
			Superior Council of
			Magistrates,
			Superior Council of
			Prosecutors,
			Ministry of Internal Affairs,
			unions of justice system
			related professions, public
			institutions,
			mass-media

									Im	plem	entat	ion d	eadli	ne											Amount
No.		2011		20	12			20)13			20	14			20	15			20)16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
	Action title	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Development of concepts																						Concepts	Superior	
	to promote professional																						developed	Council of	
	ethics and public																							Magistrates,	
	awareness regarding																							Superior	
	professional ethics of the																							Council of	
	justice sector																							Prosecutors,	
	representatives																							Ministry of	
																								Internal	
																								Affairs,	
																								Center for	
																								Combating	

	1					. ·	I
						Economic	
						Crimes and	
						Corruption	
2	Publication of brochures				1. Number of	Superior	
	on professional ethics of				brochures	Council of	
	justice sector				published	Magistrates,	
	representatives				2. Number of	Superior	
	1				brochures	Council of	
					distributed	Prosecutors,	
					distributed	Ministry of	
						Internal	
						Affairs,	
						Center for	
						Combating	
						Economic	
						Crimes and	
						Corruption	
3	Producing video clips on				1. Number of	Superior	
	the activity of the justice				video clips	Council of	
	sector institutions and				produced and	Magistrates,	
	publishing thereof on the				published	Supreme	
	Internet				2. Number of	Court of	
					hits on video	Justice,	
					spots	Superior	
					1 *	Council of	
						Prosecutors,	
						Ministry of	
						Internal	
						Affairs,	
						Center for	
						Combating	
						Economic	
						Crimes and	
TD (Corruption	500.0
1 ota	l area funding						780,8

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
4.2.5. Society involvement in	1. Mechanisms for involving members of civil society in monitoring the professional ethics of actors in the		Center for Combating
monitoring professional ethics	justice sector, developed and implemented	The year 2016	Economic Crimes and
compliance by the justice sector	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		Corruption,
actors			Superior Council of
			Magistrates,

	General Prosecutor's Office,
	Supreme Court of Justice,
	Ministry of Internal Affairs,
	unions of justice system
	related professions

									Iı	mplei	men	ıtatio	n dea	ıdline	2										Amount
No.		2011		20	012			20)13			2	2014			20)15			20)16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
	Action title	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop a draft normative act concerning civil society involvement in monitoring the legislation on professional ethics of the justice sector representatives																						1. Draft regulation prepared and submitted to the Government 2. The number of the civil society representatives involved	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, Superior Council of Prosecutors, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, National Integrity Commission	
2	Encouraging civil society to monitor the work of justice sector representatives; civil society participation in the monitoring process																						1. Number of signed cooperation agreements 2. Monitoring carried out 3. Monitoring reports prepared and disseminated	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, Superior Council of Prosecutors, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating	

											Economic Crimes and Corruption, National Integrity Commission	
Total	area funding		•									85,4
Total	funding strategic direction 4	1.2										2300,5

Strate	egic direction 4.3. Developing a culture of intolerance towards corruption through self-administ	tration bodies in	the justice sector
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
4.3.1. Conduct regular trainings	1. The training plan developed		Center for Combating
on combating corruption for the	2. Trainings conducted and number of people trained	The year 2016	Economic Crimes and
justice sector actors		-	Corruption,
			National Institute of Justice,
			Academy "Stefan cel Mare"

	Action title									Impl	leme	ntatio	on de	adlin	e								0.4	-	Amount
No.		2011		2012				2	2013			2	014		2015				2016				Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop the training plan on fighting corruption for the justice sector representatives																						Training plan developed and approved	National Institute of Justice, Academy "Stefan cel Mare"	
2	Organizing and conducting training courses on fighting corruption for the justice sector representatives																						1. Number of courses held 2. Number of people trained	National Institute of Justice, Academy "Stefan cel Mare"	
Total	area funding	1			1	1																		•	1502,8

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge	
----------------------------	---------------------------	----------	------------------------	--

4.3.2. Develop and implement	1. Study and recommendations developed		Superior Council of
measures to incentivize justice	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2016	Magistrates,
sector actors with a view to	3. Incentive measures developed and applied		Superior Council of
promoting an honest behaviour			Prosecutors,
and develop a culture of			Ministry of Internal Affairs,
intolerance towards corruption			Center for Combating
			Economic Crimes and
			Corruption

										Impl	emen	tatio	n dea	dline	;								0.1	T	Amount
No.		2011		2	012			20)13			20	14			20	15			2(16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
	Action title	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	П	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on voluntary testing of the justice sector representatives with the polygraph																						Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, General Prosecutor's Office, Superior Council of Magistrates, Ministry of Internal Affairs	
2	Developing the regulatory framework for voluntary testing procedure with the polygraph of the justice sector representatives																						Regulatory framework developed and submitted for review to the Government	Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, General Prosecutor's Office, Superior Council of Magistrates, Ministry of Internal Affairs	
3	Develop and implement a																						1. Mechanisms	Superior	

	mechanism to encourage voluntary testing with the use of polygraph and publicizing its use									developed and applied 2. Number of press releases disseminated and published on the Internet	Council of Magistrates, Superior Council of Prosecutors, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating	
					П						Combating Economic	
											Crimes and Corruption	
Total	area funding											42,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
4.3.3. Strengthening the	1. Study conducted and recommendations developed		Superior Council of
whistleblower system (inside	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2016	Magistrates,
and outside the sector)	3. The mechanism for operating the whistleblower system, created and implemented		Supreme Court of Justice,
			Superior Council of
			Prosecutors,
			General Prosecutor's Office
			Center for Combating
			Economic Crimes and
			Corruption,
			Ministry of Internal Affairs

	Action title									Impl	emen	itatio	n dea	adline	e								Outcome	Institutions in	Amount
No.		2011		20	12			2	2013			20	014			2	015			2(16		indicators	charge	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	murcutors	enui ge	lei)
1	Develop the draft amending the regulatory framework with the view to regulate the institution of whistleblowers, and the protection thereof																						Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption	
2	Creating within institutions the mechanisms by which whistleblowers can report																						Internal mechanisms established	Superior Council of Magistrates, Superior	

	:	1				1		I	1	1	I		1	Caunailas	1
	on irregularities													Council of	
														Prosecutors,	
														General	
														Prosecutor's	
														Office,	
														Center for	
														Combating	
														Economic	
														Crimes and	
														Corruption,	
														Ministry of	
														Internal	
														Affairs	
3	Organizing and												1. Number of	Superior	1
	conducting training												courses held	Council of	
	courses on the institution												2. Number of	Magistrates,	
	of whistleblowers for the												people trained	Superior	
	justice sector												people trained	Council of	
	representatives													Prosecutors,	
	representatives													General	
														Prosecutor's	
														Office,	
														Center for	
														Combating	
														Economic	
														Crimes and	
														Corruption,	
														Ministry of	
														Internal	
														Affairs	
4	Mediatization of the												Number of press	Center for	
	institution of												releases	Combating	
	whistleblowers												disseminated	Economic	
														Crimes and	
														Corruption	
Total	area funding	-	 									 -			41,1

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
4.3.4. Publication and	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		Superior Council of

mediatization of judgments	2. Website created and functional	The year 2016	Magistrates,
concerning convictions of the	3. Judgments concerning convictions of the justice sector actors for corruption, published and publicized		Ministry of Justice
justice sector actors for			
corruption			

										Im	plem	entat	ion d	eadli	ne										Amount
No.		2011			2012			2	2013			20	014			20	015			2	016			Institutions in	(thousand
	Action title	IV	I	П	III	IV	I	П	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	П	III	IV	I	П	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on the appropriateness to amend the regulatory framework aimed at publishing and publicizing the judgments, concerning justice sector representatives' conviction for corruption																						conducted, recommendatio ns developed	Superior Council of Magistrates, Ministry of Justice	
2	Updating and optimizing the structure and content of the Web pages of the courts, with the view to publishing the judgments concerning justice sector representatives' conviction for corruption																						web pages updated and	Superior Council of Magistrates, courts	
3	Mediatization of final judgments on the justice sector representatives' conviction for corruption																						press releases disseminated and published on the Internet	Superior Council of Magistrates, courts, Ministry of Justice, General Prosecutor's Office	
Total	area funding	l		1																					28,4
Total	funding strategic direction	4.3																							1615,0
Total	funding pillarIV																								1118914,3

PILLAR V. The role of justice in economic development

Specific objective: Implementation of measures, by which the judiciary sector would help create a favourable environment for sustainable economic development

	Strategic direction 5.1. Strengthening the alternative dispute resolution		
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
5.1.1. Common law courts	1. The competence of economic courts taken over by the common law courts		Ministry of Justice,
taking over the review of	2. The curriculum for specialization in reviewing economic (commercial) cases, developed	The year 2012	Superior Council of
economic cases, including by	3. Common law court judges trained		Magistrates,
providing specialization of			courts,
judges on these types of cases			National Institute of Justice

		2011							I	mple	menta	ation	dead	lline									0.4	T 111 11	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	012			20)13			20)14				2015				2016		Outcome indicators	Institutions in charge	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting the study of statistic data on: a) number of applications in economic cases filed to the courts in the area of the office (domicile) of the parties; b) the number of businesses registered in the administrative-territorial units that may be involved in economic cases																						Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, National Bureau of Statistics	
2	Develop the draft amending Law no. 514- XIII of 6 July 1995 on judicial organization with the view of redeploying judge positions towards courts of appeal and courts																						1. Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government 2. Number of judges redeployed	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates	
3	Develop the normative framework concerning the relocation of the Civil Board of the Chisinau Court of Appeal at the former headquarters of the Economic Court of Appeal																						Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and approved	Ministry of Justice, State Chancellery	

4	Develop the training plan									Training plan	National	
	in the field of reviewing									developed and	Institute of	
	economic (commercial)									approved	Justice,	
	cases										Superior	
											Council of	
											Magistrates	
5	Organizing training									1. Number of	National	
	courses for judges in the									courses	Institute of	
	field of reviewing									conducted	Justice	
	economic (commercial)									2. Number of		
	cases									trained judges		
Total	area funding	•	•	•		•			•			637,9

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
5.1.2. Develop the guidelines on	1. The guidelines for the use of alternative dispute settlement mechanisms developed		Ministry of Justice,
the use of alternative dispute	2. Study conducted and recommendations formulated on the development of mediation and arbitration	The year 2016	Superior Council of
settlement mechanisms	institutions		Magistrates,
(criminal, civil, commercial)	3. The draft amendment to the regulatory framework on mediation and arbitration institutions, developed		Mediation Council,
and developing the institutions	and adopted		Chamber of Commerce and
of mediation and arbitration as	4. The curriculum for training judges, lawyers, mediators and arbitrators, developed and implemented		Industry,
alternative means of dispute			Lawyers Union,
settlement			National Institute of Justice

									Iı	mplei	nent	ation	dead	line											Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20)12			20	013			2	014			20	15			2	016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	П	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Development of studies on the functioning of the mediation institution in specific areas (family, civil and commercial, employment, administrative review, and consumer protection disputes) and the opportunity to develop a system of community mediation and the arbitration institution																						Studies conducted and recommendatio ns developed	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family, Ministry of Economy, Mediation Council, Superior Council of Magistrates, Chamber of	

			 	 	 				1	
									Commerce	
									and Industry	
2	Develop drafts amending							Draft	Ministry of	
	the regulatory framework							amendments to	Justice,	
	on the functioning of the							the regulatory	Mediation	
	mediation institution in							framework,	Council,	
	specific areas (family, civil							developed and	Chamber of	
	and commercial, labor,							submitted for	Commerce	
								review to the		
	administrative review, and								and Industry	
	consumer protection							Government		
	disputes)									
3	Training of trainers in							1. Trainers	National	
	mediation and arbitration							recruited /	Institute of	
								training	Justice	
								institutions		
								selected		
								2. Methodology		
								for training of		
								trainers		
								developed		
								3. Number of		
								courses held		
								4. Number of		
4	D 0: 41 4 : 1							trainers trained	NT / 1	
4	Drafting the training plan			_				Training plan	National	
	in the field of mediation			_				developed	Institute of	
	(arbitration) for judges,			_					Justice,	
	lawyers, mediators,			_					Academy of	
	arbitrators and civil								Public	
	servants responsible for								Administratio	
	representing their								n	
	institution in the court and									
	settling claims and									
	petitions from citizens									
5	Conducting training	l						1. Number of	Superior	
	courses in the field of							courses	Council of	
	mediation (arbitration) for							conducted	Magistrates,	
	judges, lawyers, mediators,							2. Number of	Mediation	
	arbitrators and civil							people trained	Council,	
	servants responsible for							r r	Chamber of	
	representing their								Commerce	
	institution in the court and								and Industry,	
	mstitution in the court and								and mausify,	

	tling claims, petitions om citizens Lawyers Union, National Institute of Justice, State Chancellery	
6	exclopment of ethodological commendations and iding principles for the plementation of ernative dispute etlement mechanisms Recommendation and industry, Lawyers Union, National Institute of Justice, State Chancellery	
Tota	a funding	2543,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
5.1.3. Promoting the benefits of	1. Public information campaigns on the benefits of alternative dispute settlement mechanisms, carried out		Mediation Council,
alternative dispute settlement	2. Information campaigns for justice sector actors carried out	The year 2016	Chamber of Commerce and
mechanisms in the business	3. Promotional materials related to alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, developed and distributed		Industry,
environment, legal community,	4. Public mediatization events conducted		National Institute of Justice,
in academia and the judiciary			Ministry of Justice,
system; conduct information			Lawyers Union
campaigns and dissemination of			
information on these			
mechanisms			

									Iı	mplei	ment	ation	dead	lline									0	T4:44::	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20)12			20)13			20	014			20	15			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Public information campaigns for public and																						1. Specialized	Mediation Council,	
	justice sector actors																						web page, created	Chamber of	

	concerning benefits of	2. Number of	Commerce	
	alternative dispute	TV and radio	and Industry,	
	resolution mechanisms,	broadcasts	National	
	conducted through the	carried out	Institute of	
	media and the Internet	3. Number of	Justice,	
		open	Ministry of	
		conferences and	Justice,	
		seminars	Lawyers	
		conducted	Union,	
		4. Number of	local public	
		press articles	administration	
		published	authorities	
		5. Video clips	authorities	
		and social		
		advertisements		
		placed		
2	Production and distribution	Promotional	Ministry of	
	of promotional materials	materials	Justice,	
	relating to alternative	produced and	Mediation	
	dispute resolution	disseminated	Council,	
	mechanisms (video clips,	dissemilated	Chamber of	
	publications, brochures,		Commerce	
	public notices, guidelines,		and Industry,	
	manuals, leaflets, etc.)		National	
	munutis, realies, etc.)		Institute of	
			Justice,	
			Superior	
			Council of	
			Magistrates,	
			Lawyers	
			Union	
Total	ll area funding		CIIIOII	2543,0
I otal a	i ni cu iunung			2373,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
5.1.4. Establish / improve mechanisms for recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards	Study conducted and recommendations developed Mechanisms for recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards, promoted and improved	The year 2014	Ministry of Justice, courts

No.	A - 4* 4*4T -			Impl	ementation deadline			Outcome	Institutions in	Amount
1,00	Action title	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	indicators	charge	(thousand

		IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	П	Ш	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV			lei)
1	Develop a study on regulation and enforcement mechanisms for recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards																						The study developed and recommendation s formulated	Ministry of Justice, courts, Chamber of Commerce and Industry, National Union of Bailiffs	
2	Changing the regulatory framework to regulate the mechanisms for recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards																						Draft amending the regulatory framework, drafted and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, courts, Chamber of Commerce and Industry, National Union of Bailiffs	
3	Organize training courses for judges and bailiffs in the field of the recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards																						1. Training plan developed and approved 2. Number of courses held 3. Number of trained judges and bailiffs	National Institute of Justice, National Union of Bailiffs, Chamber of Commerce and Industry	
	area funding																							·	254,2
Total	funding strategic direction	5.1																							8098,4

		Strategic direction 5.2. Improvement of insolvency proceedings			
Sp	pecific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions	in charge
5.2.1.	Creating the necessary	Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2014	Ministry of Justi	ice,
regula	atory framework for the			Ministry of Ecor	nomy
organ	nization and efficient				
opera	ntion of the administrators				
of ins	olvency proceedings				
No.	Action title	Implementation deadline	Outcome	Institutions in	Amount

		2011		2012	2			2013			2	014			20	15				2016		indicators	charge	(thousand
		IV	I	II	Ш	IV I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV			lei)
1	Develop the draft law on authorized administrators																					Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Economy	
2	Creating the institutional framework for practicing the profession of the authorized administrator																					Structures for carrying out the activity of the authorized administrator, created	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Economy	
3	Developing the draft normative acts on admission to the authorized administrator profession and supervising these activities																					Draft normative acts developed and approved	Ministry of Justice	
Total	area funding																						•	0,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
5.2.2. Strengthening the status	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		Ministry of Economy,
of insolvency administrators to	2. Initial and continuous training of administrators of insolvency proceedings conducted	The year 2014	Ministry of Justice,
ensure the stability of the			National Institute of Justice
profession, enhance the			
integrity and professionalism			
thereof			

										Imp	oleme	ntatio	n dea	dline									-	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	12			20	13			2014			2	015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop the training plan of authorized administrators																					The training plan developed	National Institute of Justice, Ministry of Justice	
2	Organizing training courses for authorized administrators																					1. Number of courses conducted 2. Number of	National Institute of Justice	

											administrators trained		
3	Developing the handbook of the authorized administrator										Handbook developed and distributed	National Institute of Justice, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Economy	
Tota	area funding												1118,1
Tota	funding strategic direction	5.2		•									1118,1

Str	rategic direction 5.3. Modernization of record keeping and access system to the information on	economic operato	ors
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
5.3.1. Modernization of the	1. Study carried out and recommendations developed		Ministry of Economy,
electronic record keeping	2. Electronic record keeping system of economic operators, modernized	The year 2016	Ministry of Justice,
system of economic operators			Center for Electronic
			Governance

									Iı	mpleı	nenta	ation	dead	line									0.1	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011			2012			20	013			20	14			20	15			20)16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on methods of modernizing the electronic record keeping system of economic operators																						Study carried out and recommendation s developed	Center for Electronic Governance, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Economy, Ministry of Information Technology and Communicatio ns	
2	Modernizing the electronic record keeping system of economic operators																						Electronic record keeping system of economic	Center for Electronic Governance, Ministry of	

											operators, modernized	Justice, Ministry of Economy, Ministry of Information Technology	
												and Communicatio	
												ns	
Tota	l area funding												42,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
5.3.2. Creating a single	1. Study developed and recommendations formulated		Ministry of Economy,
electronic register for record	2. Single electronic register created and functional	The year 2016	Ministry of Justice,
keeping of the economic			Center for Electronic
operators and noncommercial			Governance
organizations			

										Im	plem	entat	ion d	eadli	ne									T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	2012			20	013			2()14			20)15			2	016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on a single register of economic operators and noncommercial organizations																						Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Economy, Ministry of Information Technology and Communicatio ns	
2	Creating the single electronic register of economic operators and noncommercial organizations																						Single register created	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Economy, Center for Electronic Governance, Ministry of	

											Information	
											Technology	
											and	
											Communicatio	
											ns	
Tota	area funding											42,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
5.3.3. Ensuring access to	The access to information from electronic registers of economic operators, modified		Ministry of Economy,
information from electronic		The year 2016	Ministry of Justice,
registers of economic operators		-	Center for Electronic
and providing electronic			Governance
services by the holders of these			
registers			

										Im	plen	nent	ation	dead	line										Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	012			2	013			2	2014			20)15				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	П	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
2	Amending the regulatory framework with the view to extend the amount of information from electronic registers of economic operators for which free access is granted Improving the electronic system providing free information, as well as paid one, on economic																						Draft amending the regulatory framework, drafted and submitted for review to the Government Improved electronic system	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Information Technology and Communicatio ns Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Economy,	
T. A.	operators																							Center for Electronic Governance, Ministry of Information Technology and Communicatio ns	0.0
	area funding																								0,0
Total	funding strategic direction	5.3																							85,3

Total funding pillar V 9301,9

PILLAR VI. Human rights observance in the justice sector

Specific objective: Ensure effective observance of human rights in legal practices and policies

	Strategic direction 6.1. Strengthening the role of the Constitutional Court		
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.1.1. Review the composition	1. Criteria for the selection of judges of the Constitutional Court, established		Ministry of Justice,
and criteria for the selection of	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2016	Constitutional Court
judges of the Constitutional			
Court			

									Im	ıpleı	menta	ation	dead	lline											Amount
No.		2011		20	012			20	13			20	014			2	015			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
	Action title	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study of the regulatory framework on the activity, composition and selection criteria of the Constitutional Court judges																						1. Working group created 2. Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	Constitutional Court, Ministry of Justice	
2	Develop the draft amending the Constitution in respect of the composition and selection criteria of the Constitutional Court judges																						Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Constitutional Court	Ministry of Justice, Constitutional Court	
3	Development of the draft Law on Constitutional Court and of the Code of Constitutional Jurisdiction																						Bills drafted and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Constitutional Court	

(the new variant)												
Total area funding												172,6

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.1.2. Review the procedures	1. Study carried out and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
for reviewing the complaints	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2016	Constitutional Court
submitted to the Constitutional			
Court			

]	mple	men	ıtati	on d	eadli	ne									-	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2(012			2	2013			2	2014			20	15			2	016	IV indica	Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	Ι	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study of the regulatory framework on the Constitutional Court's work, including procedures for reviewing complaints submitted to the Court																						1.Working group created 2. Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	Constitutional Court, Ministry of Justice	
2	Development of the draft Law on Constitutional Court and of the Code of Constitutional Jurisdiction (the new variant)																						Bills drafted and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Constitutional Court	
Total	l area funding																								87,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.1.3. Reviewing the range of	1. Study carried out and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
subjects entitled to notify the	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2016	Constitutional Court
Constitutional Court		-	

										Im	ple	ment	atior	de	adlii	ne								0.4	T ('4 4'	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2()12			20	013			20	014				20	15			2	016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	I	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study of the regulatory framework on the activity of the Constitutional Court, including the range of subjects entitled to notify the Court																							1.Working group created 2. Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	Constitutional Court, Ministry of Justice	

2	Development of the draft Bills dr	rafted and Ministry of	
	Law on Constitutional submitt	ted for Justice,	
	Court and of the Code of review	to the Constitutional	
	Constitutional Jurisdiction Govern	nment Court	
	(the new variant)		
Tota	al area funding		0,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.1.4. Professional capacity	1. The training plan developed		National Institute of Justice,
building of staff of the	2. Staff trained	The year 2016	Constitutional Court
Constitutional Court to ensure			
a high quality verification of			
legislative acts			

							I	mple	ment	ation	dead	line											0.1	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20)12			20)13			20	14			2()15			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Changing the internal structure of the Constitutional Court																						1. The review of functions and structure of the institution conducted and recommendation s developed 2. The new structure of the Constitutional Court, approved	Constitutional Court	
2	Develop the training plan for the personnel of the Constitutional Court																						Training plan developed	Constitutional Court, Academy of Public Administratio n	
3	Training the personnel of the Constitutional Court																						Number of training courses conducted Number of people trained	Constitutional Court, Academy of Public Administratio n	
Total	l area funding																								320,

Total funding strategic direction 6.1 580,3

	Strategic direction 6.2. Capacity building of the Human Rights Center and of the ombud	sman institution	
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.2.1. Institutional reform of the	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		Ministry of Justice,
Center for Human Rights and	2. The institutional framework of the Center for Human Rights, amended	The year 2012	Center for Human Rights
the ombudsman institution;	3. Performance evaluation criteria, developed and implemented		
changing the modality of			
appointment and performance			
evaluation of the ombudsman			

										Implei	menta	ation	dead	lline									0.1	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	012				2013			20)14			2	2015			20	016			Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on optimizing the activity of the Center for Human Rights and of the national torture preventive mechanism																						group created 2. Study conducted and recommendatio ns developed	Ministry of Justice, Center for Human Rights	
2	Development of the draft law on the ombudsman institution, the new reading, and the draft amending Regulation of the Center for Human Rights																						acts, prepared and submitted	Ministry of Justice, Center for Human Rights	
Total	area funding																								114,4

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.2.2. Assessing the actual needs	1. Analysis carried out and recommendations developed		Center for Human Rights,
of appropriate financing of the	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2015	Ministry of Finance
ombudsman institution	3. Appropriate funding mechanism for the institution, created		
			!

										Impl	emen	tatio	n dead	lline									04	T	Amount
No	Action title	2011		20	12			2	2013			2	2014			20)15			2	016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on the activity of the ombudsmen and the Centre for Human																						Study carried out and recommendatio	Center for Human Rights	

	Rights, including the evaluation of their performance, with the view to determining their financing needs	
2	Developing the institutional development plan development plan of the Centre for Human Rights, including the draft amending the regulatory framework on financing the institution	
Total	area funding	43,3

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.2.3. Enhancing skills and	1. Mechanism for communication with other institutions created		Center for Human Rights
competences in management,	2. Training for the staff conducted	The year 2016	
investigation, research and			
analysis for the staff of the			
Centre for Human Rights and			
the ombudsman institution			

										Im	plem	entat	ion d	eadli	ne								0.1	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		_	2012				013	T			014	T			15	T		2	016		Outcome indicators	Institutions in charge	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	1	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	1	II	III	IV	1	II	III	IV	mulcators	charge	lei)
1	Develop curricula for the initial training for the new employees and the continuous training plan for the staff of the Centre for Human Rights (including its representations), which involves developing the skills for identification and reporting of human rights violations																						Curricula and the training plan developed	Center for Human Rights, Academy of Public Administratio n, National Institute of Justice	
2	Training the staff of the Centre for Human Rights and its representations to raise their level of professional qualifications																						1. Number of courses held 2. Number of people trained	Center for Human Rights, Academy of Public	

3	Changing the website of the Center for Human Rights in order to ensure its interactivity								Website changed	Administratio n, National Institute of Justice Center for Human Rights	
4	Organizing the annual forum for discussions between the ombudsman and civil society groups working in the field of human rights								1. Forum annually organized 2. Number of participants and the proposals formulated at the forum	Center for Human Rights	
Total	area funding										223,3

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.2.4. Capacity building of the	Ombudsman capacities strengthened and adjusted to the standards of children's rights protection		Center for Human Rights
ombudsman to protect and		The year 2016	
promote children's rights			

										Impl	emer	ntatio	n de	adline	2								0.1	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			2	2013			20	014				2015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I I	Ι	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on the ombudsman activity of protecting children's right, including the appropriateness of creating the Child's Advocate as a separate institution																						Study carried out and recommendation s developed	Center for Human Rights	
2	Training courses on children's rights for the staff of the Human Rights Center																						1. Number of courses conducted 2. Number of staff trained	Center for Human Rights	
Total	area funding	•	•		•				•		•	•								•		•			344,5
Total	funding strategic direction6	.2																							725,4

	Strategic direction 6.3. Strengthening the justice system for children		
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.3.1. Ensuring justice system	1. Specialization of judges, prosecutors, lawyers, probation counsellors, juvenile inspectors, investigators,		Superior Council of
actors specialization in working	staff of institutions having minors in custody and mediators in cases involving child witnesses, victims or	The year 2016	Magistrates,
with children	those in conflict with law, provided		Ministry of Justice,
	2. Training curriculum developed and training courses conducted		General Prosecutor's Office,
	3. Rooms for hearing children in the courts, prosecution offices, commissariats / police stations and		Ministry of Internal Affairs,
	probation offices, allocated and equipped		National Council of State
	4. Legal framework and procedures for children under the age of criminal liability, developed, adopted and		Guaranteed Legal Aid,
	implemented		Mediation Council,
			National Institute of Justice,
			Ministry of Education,
			Ministry of Finance,
			National Council for Child
			Rights Protection

										Impl	emei	ntatio	on de	adline	e								_		Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			2	013			2	014			20	015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Improving the normative framework to provide specialization for persons working with children in contact with the justice system																						1.Study carried out and recommendation s developed 2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework, developed	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family, Ministry of Internal Affairs, National Council of	

Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice system, modification and / or development of training programs for them 2 Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice system, modification and / or development of training programs for them 3 1. Needs assessed 2. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved 4 2. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved 5 2. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved 8 3 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9												
2 Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice system, modification and / or development of training programs for them 1. Needs assessed 2. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved 2. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved 3. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved 4. Administration and of the modified, as appropriate, developed and approved 5. Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Seducation, Ministry of Seducati											State Guaranteed	
Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice system, modification and/or development of training programs for them 2 Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice system, modified, as appropriate, developed and approved of training programs for them 2 Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice system, modified, as appropriate, developed and approved of training programs of them 4 Development of training programs of them 5 Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice, assessed 2. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved of training programs of them 6 Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice, administration authorities and ministrute of Justice, administration in authorities of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved of training programs of them 8 Assessing training needs of persons working with the justice, administration authorities and ministrute of Justice, administration in authorities of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved of training programs and or development of tr												
2 Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice system, modification and / or development of training programs for them 2 In Needs assessed 2. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved may be appropriate, developed appropriate, developed and approved may be appropriate, developed appropriate, developed appropriate, developed and approved may be appropriate, developed and appro												
Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice system, modification and/ or development of training programs for them 1. Needs assessed 1. Needs assessed 1. Institute of 1. Studie, Academy of 1. Studies, Academy												
2 Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice system, modification and/ or development of training programs for them 2 I. Needs assessed 2. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved many modified, as appropriate, and many modified, as appropria												
2 Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice system, modification and / or development of training programs for them 2 I. Needs assessed 2. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved 3 I. Needs assessed 2. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved 4 Administratio n. Academy "Stefan cel Mare", Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of												
2 Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice system, modification and / or development of training programs for them 2 National Institute of Justice, developed and approved appropriate, developed and approved appropriate, developed and approved suppropriate, deve												
Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice system, modification and / or development of training programs for them 1. Needs assessed 2. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved ministration n, Academy "Stefan cel Mare", Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Education												
2 Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice system, modification and / or development of training programs for them 2 Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice system, modification and / or development of training programs for them 3 I. Needs assessed Institute of Justice, Academy of Public Academy of Public Academy "Stefan cel Mare", Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Education Education Educ												
2 Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice system, modification and / or development of training programs for them 1. Needs assessed 2. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved 2. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved 3. National Public Administration n, Academy "Stefan cel Mare", Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Ustice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Ministry of Ministry of Deficiency of Ministry of Minis											administration	
Assessing training needs of persons working with children in contact with the justice system, modification and / or development of training programs for them 1. Needs assessed 2. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved 2. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved 3. National Institute of Justice, Academy of Public Administration, Academy (Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Ministry Ministry Ministry Mi												
of persons working with children in contact with the justice system, modification and / or development of training programs for them Academy of Public Administration appropriate, developed and approved Academy of Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Superior Council of Ministry Superior Council of Ministry Superior Council of Ministry Su	_	Ai 4ii 4-						 	1 37	1		
children in contact with the justice system, modification and / or development of training programs for them 2. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved 3. Number of training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved 4. Academy "Stefan cel Mare", Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Ministry of Ministry of Education, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Ministry Ministry Ministry Ministry Ministry Ministry Ministry	2	Assessing training needs										
the justice system, modification and / or development of training programs for them Training programs modified, as appropriate, developed and approved Academy "Stefan cel Mare", Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Ministry Ministry of Ministry Ministry Ministry Min		of persons working with										
modification and / or development of training programs for them Public Administratio appropriate, developed and approved Academy "Stefan cel Mare", Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Education, Ministry o												
development of training programs for them Administratio n, Academy "Stefan cel Mare", Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Minist		the justice system,									Academy of	
programs for them Academy												
developed and approved Academy "Stefan cel Mare", Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of									modif	fied, as		
developed and approved "Stefan cel Mare", Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of		programs for them							approj	priate,		
approved "Stefan cel Mare", Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of										oned and	Academy	
Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of											"Stefan cel	
Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of									-FF-			
Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of											Superior	
General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of												
Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of											Magistrates,	
Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of												
Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of												
Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of												
Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of												
Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of												
Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of												
Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of											Ministry of	
Ministry of Education, Ministry of Ministry of Education, Ministry of Ministry of Education, Ministry of Ministry											Justice,	
Education, Ministry of											Ministry of	
Ministry of												
Labour Social												
											Labour, Social	
Protection and											Protection and	
Family,												
National National											National	
Council of												
											State	

				ı									Guaranteed Legal Aid, local public administration authorities	
3	Organizing initial and / or continuous training courses for persons who work with children in contact with the justice system											1. Number of courses conducted 2. Number of people trained	National Institute of Justice, Academy of Public Administratio n, Academy "Stefan cel Mare", Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family, Mediation Council, National Institute of Justice, local public administration authorities	
4	Adjustment of the	<u> </u>										1. Study carried	General	

	regulatory framework governing the modality of tackling criminal cases where children are involved to European and international standards							out and recommendation s developed 2. Draft amending the regulatory framework, developed and submitted for review to the Government	Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Human Rights, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family, Ministry of Education	
5	Preparation and constant updating of the list of lawyers specialized in state-guaranteed legal aid in cases involving children							List compiled and regularly updated	National Council of State Guaranteed Legal Aid	
6	Developing methodological guidelines for lawyers specialized in state-guaranteed legal aid in cases involving children							Guidelines developed and distributed	National Council of State Guaranteed Legal Aid, Lawyers Union, National Institute of Justice	
7	Training the lawyers specialized in state-guaranteed legal aid in cases involving children							1.Number of courses conducted 2. Number of lawyers trained	National Institute of Justice, National Council of State Guaranteed Legal Aid	
Total	area funding	 <u> </u>								4054,2

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.3.2. Strengthening protection	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		Ministry of Justice,
instruments for child victims or	2. Methodology for examining cases involving child victims and their support in criminal proceedings,	The year 2016	Superior Council of
witnesses of crime in criminal	developed and implemented		Magistrates,
proceedings	3. State-guaranteed legal aid, psychologist and teacher support and counselling services to child victims and		National Council of State
	child witnesses in criminal proceedings, provided		Guaranteed Legal Aid,
	4. Legal expertise tailored to the needs of child victims and child witnesses		Ministry of Labour, Social
			Protection and Family,
			Ministry of Health,
			National Council for Child
			Rights Protection,
			Ministry of Internal Affairs

									Iı	nplem	ienta	tion (leadli	ine										0.4	Tard's diameter	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		_	012			_	2013			_	014				2015				20			Outcome indicators	Institutions in charge	(thousand
		IV	ı	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	1	11	III	Г	V	1	II	III	IV	mulcators	charge	lei)
1	Develop comments to legislation on the handling of cases involving child victims or child witnesses of crime																							Comments developed and published	General Prosecutor's Office, Superior Council of Magistrates, Ministry of Internal Affairs, National Council of State Guaranteed Legal Aid	
2	Furnishing hearing and assistance rooms for children in the courts, prosecution offices and police stations																							Number of rooms furnished in the courts, prosecution offices, police stations	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs	

3	Changing the regulatory										Bill prepared	Ministry of	
	framework in order to										and submitted	Justice,	
	grant the right of state-										for review to the	National	
	guaranteed legal aid to										Government	Council of	
	child victims of crime											State	
												Guaranteed	
												Legal Aid	
Total	area funding												13697,4

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.3.3. Strengthening the	1. Strengthening the system of case management by probation counsellors, referral of beneficiaries to		Ministry of Justice,
juvenile probation system	specialized community services, functional	The year 2016	National Institute of Justice,
	2. Psychosocial programs for children, developed and implemented		Ministry of Labour, Social
	3. Improving the system of recruitment, initial and continuous training and performance monitoring of		Protection and Family,
	juvenile probation counsellors		Ministry of Finance
	4. Financial resources provided in accordance with the real needs of the probation system		

									Im	plem	entat	ion d	eadlir	ie									0.4	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	012			20	13			20	14			2	2015			2	2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop recommendations on case management in working with children under probation																						Methodical recommendatio ns developed and approved	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family	
2	Develop probation programs for children																						Programs developed and approved	Ministry of Justice	
3	Ensuring recruitment of juvenile probation counsellors with psychology and social assistance background																						Number of probation counsellors with relevant studies recruited	Ministry of Justice	
4	Training juvenile probation counsellors																						1. Number of courses conducted 2. The number of probation counsellors trained	National Institute of Justice, Ministry of Justice	

5	Develop methodology for performance evaluation of the juvenile probation counsellors	1. Methodology developed and approved 2. Number of probation counsellors	National Institute of Justice, Ministry of Justice	
		evaluated		
6	Evaluating the necessary	Evaluation	Ministry of	
	financial, material and	carried out and	Justice	
	human resources for the	needs		
	functioning of the	established		
	probation system			
Total	l area funding			543,8

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.3.4. Ensure respect for the	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		
rights of children in detention	2. Mechanism for processing the complaints of children in detention reviewed and improved according to	The year 2016	Ministry of Justice,
	international standards on children's rights		Ministry of Finance
	3. Monitoring system of the duration of pretrial detention of children in conflict with the law, created and		
	functional		
	4. Rehabilitation programs for children in prison for the purpose of reducing recidivism, developed		

									Im	plem	entat	tion d	eadli	ne											Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2	012			20	13			20	014			2	2015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	Ι	II	Ш	IV	I	II	II	I IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Analysis and modification of the regulatory framework governing disciplinary sanctions applied to children in detention, incentives for these children and filing complaints by them																						1. Evaluation carried out and recommendation s developed 2. Draft amending the regulatory framework, drafted and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Center for Human Rights	
2	Monitor the pretrial arrest measure applied to minors and, where appropriate, develop a draft amending																						1.Monitoring carried out 2. Where appropriate, bill	Center for Human Rights, Ministry of	

	legislation prepared and submitted for review to the Government Office, Superior Council of Magistrates	
3	Develop methodology for individual planning of the execution of the sentence by children in detention Methodology developed and approved approved Ministry of developed and approved	
4	Optimizing the educational staff, including the psychologists one, in the penitenciary institutions having children in custody 1. Study carried out and Justice, Ministry of Protection and Protection and Family	
5	Develop programs to prepare children for release from detention Programs developed Ministry of Justice	
6	Development and implementation of general education and vocational programs for children in detention Ministry of Education and vocational programs for children in detention Ministry of Education Ministry of Education	
Total	area funding	230,2

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.3.5. Strengthening the system	1. The process of collecting and analyzing statistical data on the children in conflict with the law, modified		Ministry of Justice,
of data collection and analysis	in accordance with international juvenile justice system indicators	The year 2016	Superior Council of
on the children entering in	2. Juvenile justice data published annually	-	Magistrates,
contact with the justice system			General Prosecutor's Office,
			Ministry of Internal Affairs,
			Ministry of Labour, Social
			Protection and Family,
			National Bureau of Statistics

No.				Impl	ementation deadline	!		Outcome	Institutions in	Amount
110.	Action title	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	indicators	charge	(thousand

		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV			lei)												
1	Analysis of the regulatory framework regarding the collection and processing of data on the children in contact with the justice system and, where appropriate, developing a draft amendment to it																						1. Analysis carried out and recommendation s developed 2. Where appropriate, draft amending regulatory framework prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Center for Human Rights, Ministry of Internal Affairs, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family, National Bureau of Statistics	
2	Collecting and processing data on the children entering in contact with the justice system, annual publication thereof on the websites of public authorities in charge																						Data collected, processed, published annually and made publicly available	Center for Human Rights, Ministry of Internal Affairs, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family, National	

																	Bureau of Statistics	
3	Training the specialists of the public authorities on the collection and processing of statistical data in accordance with international juvenile justice system indicators															1. Number of courses conducted 2. Number of specialists trained	National Institute of Justice, Academy "Stefan cel Mare"	
Total area funding														116,6				
Total	l funding strategic direction (5.3																18642,2

Stra	ntegic direction 6.4. Respect for the rights of persons deprived of liberty; eradication of torture a	and ill-treatment	
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.4.1. Streamlining the	Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		Ministry of Justice,
application of procedural	2. Effective mechanism for monitoring the institutions applying procedural measures of constraint and	The year 2014	General Prosecutor's Office,
measures of constraint and	preventive measures, created		Ministry of Internal Affairs,
preventive measures for the			Center for Combating
purposes of ensuring the right			Economic Crimes and
to liberty and physical security			Corruption,
			Supreme Court of Justice,
			Center for Human Rights

										Implei	ment	atio	ı dea	dline	;									T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2012	2			2	2013			2)14			20	015				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study of law and practice of preventive measures and other procedural measures of constraint, with emphasis on pretrial arrest, house arrest and bail																						Study carried out and recommendation s developed	General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Customs	

	T T			 	 	 					
1										Service,	
										Supreme	
										Court of	
										Justice,	
										Center for	
										Human	
										Rights,	
										Ministry of	
										Justice	
2	Develop a draft								Bill prepared	Ministry of	
	amendment to legal								and submitted	Justice,	
	framework to comply with								for review to the	General	
	procedural safeguards								Government	Prosecutor's	
	when applying preventive									Office,	
	measures and other									Ministry of	
	procedural measures of									Internal	
	constraint									Affairs,	
	Constraint									Center for	
										Combating	
										Economic	
										Crimes and	
										Corruption,	
										Customs	
										Service,	
										Supreme	
										Court of	
										Justice,	
										Center for	
		-			_				m · ·	Human Rights	
3	Develop the training								Training	National	
	program for judges,								program	Institute of	
	prosecutors and								developed and	Justice,	
	investigators on applying								approved	Academy	
	preventive measures and									"Stefan cel	
	other procedural measures									Mare"	
	of constraint										
4	Organize training courses								1. Number of	National	
	for judges, prosecutors and								training courses	Institute of	
	investigators on applying								conducted	Justice,	
	preventive measures and								2. Number of	Academy	
	other procedural measures								people trained	"Stefan cel	
	of constraint								. i	Mare"	
	1		1 1 1			 	 	1			

	5	Monitoring the application of legal provisions on preventive measures and other procedural measures of constraint					1. Monitoring carried out 2. Monitoring report prepared and disseminated	Ministry of Justice, Superior Council of Magistrates, Superior Council of Prosecutors, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Customs	
	Total	area funding						Service	543,8

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.4.2. Developing technical and	1. Amount of financial resources, increased		Ministry of Justice,
material base and	2. New buildings built and the old ones—renovated	The year 2016	Ministry of Finance,
infrastructure in all places of	3. Modern technology means to ensure the prevention of torture, implemented		General Prosecutor's Office,
deprivation of liberty, in			Ministry of Internal Affairs,
compliance with the European			Center for Combating
standards			Economic Crimes and
			Corruption,
			Ministry of Health,
			Ministry of Labour, Social
			Protection and Family,
			Ministry of Education

										Im	pler	nent	ation	dead	lline								0.1	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	12			2()13			2	2014			2()15				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	П	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Detailed analysis of the financial needs of the penitentiary institutions and gradually increasing the financial resources allocated to these																						Analysis carried out and recommendation s developed	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Finance	

	institutions			
2	Installation of video surveillance equipment in all places of detention	Equipment installed and video surveillance systems, functional	Ministry of Internal Affairs, Ministry of Justice, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption	
3	Develop and implement plans for construction or reconstruction of premises of the detention facilities	1. Plans for construction / reconstruction developed 2. Number of premises built / reconstructed	Ministry of Justice	
Total	area funding	_		64442,9

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.4.3. Capacity building for	1. Continuous monitoring of places of detention carried out		Center for Human Rights,
institutions in charge of the	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2014	National torture preventive
deprivation of liberty (police,	3. Units monitoring the observance of human rights, created within institutions and directly subordinated to		mechanism
penitentiary system, Center for	the managements thereof		
Combating Economic Crimes	4. Unannounced controls carried out in places of detention		
and Corruption, psychiatric	5. National torture preventive mechanism, consolidated		
institutions, psycho-	6. Staff of the national torture preventive mechanism, trained		
neurological boardings and			
nursing homes) to prevent and			
combat torture and ill-			
treatment			

									Im	plem	ent	ation	dead	lline									0.4	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			2	2013			2	2014			2()15				201	5	Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II		II IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Analysis of the regulatory framework on the functioning of institutions in charge of the deprivation of liberty in respect of prevention and																						1. Analysis carried out and recommendatio ns developed 2. Where appropriate,	Center for Human Rights, General Prosecutor's Office,	

2	combating torture and ill-treatment; where appropriate, develop a draft amending the regulatory framework Establish internal, independent disciplinary mechanisms for the investigation of complaints on the torture and other ill-treatments									draft amendment to the regulatory framework developed 1. Regulation developed 2. Number of complaints reviewed	Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Ministry of Health, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Justice General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Internal	
3	Development or modification of the regulatory framework for the establishment of the obligation to report to the prosecutor all alleged cases of torture or other ill-treatment by the worker of the institution providing the detention of persons Develop the draft									Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government Draft amending	Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption Ministry of Justice, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption	

	amending the regulatory framework for the direct subordination to the General Prosecutor's anti- torture prosecutors								the regulatory framework, drafted and submitted for review to the Government	Justice, General Prosecutor's Office
5	Training employees of the institutions that provide detention of persons in preventing and combating torture and ill-treatment								1. Number of courses conducted 2. Number of people trained	General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Ministry of Health, National Institute of Justice, Academy "Stefan cel Mare"
6	Ongoing monitoring of detention facilities, including unannounced inspections								1.Monitoring carried out 2. Monitoring reports prepared and disseminated 3. Number of controls carried out	Center for Human Rights, General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and

											Corruption, Ministry of Health	
Tota	area funding	1					1	1			1	309,6

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.4.4. Create a standardized	1. New registration system, developed and implemented		General Prosecutor's Office,
and protected system agains	2. Staff responsible for records of cases of retention, arrest and detention, trained	The year 2014	Ministry of Internal Affairs,
manipulation of registration of	3. Records control and monitoring system, developed and applied		Center for Combating
retention, arrest and detention			Economic Crimes and
			Corruption,
			Ministry of Justice

								Implementation deadline							Implementation					Amount					
No.	Action title	2011		20)12			20	013			2	2014			2	2015			2	2016		Outcome		(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	Institutions in charge Ministry of Internal Affairs, General Prosecutor's Office, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Customs Service, Ministry of Justice General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and	lei)
1	Developing the concept of the system registering retention, arrest and detention cases; where appropriate, develop the draft amending the regulatory framework																						1.Working group created 2. Concept developed 3. Where appropriate, draft amending the regulatory framework, drafted and submitted for review to the Government	Internal Affairs, General Prosecutor's Office, Center for Combating Economic Crimes and Corruption, Customs Service, Ministry of	
2	Organizing training courses for staff, which will keep track of cases of retention, arrest and detention																						1. Number of courses conducted 2. Number of people trained	General Prosecutor's Office, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Center for Combating Economic	

									Ministry of	
									Justice,	
									Academy	
									"Stefan cel	
									Mare"	
3	Develop and implement							1. Registration	General	
	the electronic system of							system	Prosecutor's	
	registration of cases of							developed	Office,	
	retention, arrest and							2. Percentage of	Ministry of	
	detention							registered cases	Internal	
								of retention,	Affairs,	
								arrest and	Center for	
								detention	Combating	
									Economic	
									Crimes and	
									Corruption,	
									Customs	
									Service,	
									Center for	
									Electronic	
									Governance,	
									Ministry of	
									Justice	
Total	area funding									1471,5

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.4.5. Effective combating of	1. The relevant legal framework standardized		General Prosecutor's Office,
acts of torture and ill-treatment	2. Criminal penalties for acts of torture, modified	The year 2014	Ministry of Internal Affairs,
	3. Mechanism for documenting the mistreatment acts, improved		Center for Combating
	4. Greater involvement of victims in the process of examination of mistreatment		Economic Crimes and
	5. Training on the investigation of cases of mistreatment, carried out		Corruption,
	6. Information campaigns on the absolute prohibition of torture, carried out		Center for Human Rights,
			Ministry of Justice

No.										Iı	mplei	nenta	ation	dead	line								0.4	T	Amount
	Action title	2011		1 2012			2013					20)14			2015				20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III I	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	indicators	charge Ministry of	lei)
1	Develop the draft amending the regulatory framework to ensure professional independence of medical workers in the																						Draft amendment of the regulatory framework, developed	Ministry of Justice, Center for Human Rights,	

	detention forcilities there all				1		Camanal	1
	detention facilities through						General	
	their transfer to the						Prosecutor's	
	Ministry of Health, in						Office,	
	order to render probative						Ministry of	
	value to the independent						Internal	
	medical examination in						Affairs,	
	cases of alleged torture, to						Center for	
	eliminate contradictions in						Combating	
	the qualification of actions						Economic	
	as acts of torture, and for						Crimes and	
	tightening penalties for						Corruption,	
	acts of torture in						Customs	
	correlation with the						Service,	
	severity thereof						Ministry of	
							Health	
2	Develop the draft law on					1. Working	Ministry of	
	the establishment of					group created	Justice,	
	compulsory medical					2. Bill prepared	General	
						and submitted	Prosecutor's	
	examination of persons							
	deprived of liberty at each					for review to the	Office,	
	receipt in / release from					Government	Ministry of	
	detention						Internal	
							Affairs,	
							Center for	
							Combating	
							Economic	
							Crimes and	
							Corruption,	
			 				Customs	
			 				Service,	
			 				Ministry of	
							Health,	
			 				Ministry of	
			 				Labour, Social	
			 				Protection and	
							Family	
3	Endowment of the					Equipment	Ministry of	
	Forensic Center with the					purchased	Health	
	necessary equipment for					Parenasea	11441111	
	medical documentation							
	and conducting							
	appropriate forensic							
	appropriate foreiste							

	examinations in all cases that were notified, were claimed or were assumed acts of torture	
4	Develop the draft amending the Criminal Code no. 985-XV of 18 April 2002 to exclude contradictions concerning the definition of torture and other ill-treatments	
Tota	area funding	1471,5

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.4.6. Creating effective	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		Ministry of Finance,
mechanisms for rehabilitation	2. Fund for the rehabilitation of victims created and resources allocated	The year 2014	Ministry of Health,
of victims of torture and ill-	3. Number persons who have enjoyed rehabilitation services		Ministry of Labour, Social
treatment			Protection and Family,
			Ministry of Justice

										Imp	olemo	enta	tion	dead	line								0.4	Tout'd discontin	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2()12			2	013			2	014			2	2015			2	016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop the necessary regulatory framework for the rehabilitation of victims of torture and other ill-treatment																						Draft regulation prepared and submitted to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family	
2	Development of rehabilitation services for victims of torture and other ill-treatment																						Services created	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family	
Total	area funding	•													-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			2531,2
Total	funding strategic direction	6.4																							71054,5

	Strategic direction 6.5. Strengthening the system of probation and penitentiary system	n	
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge

6.5.1. Introducing a modern	1. Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
concept of probation that	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2015	Ministry of Labour, Social
contributes to the community	3. System of performance indicators correlated with the new performance indicators for the justice sector		Protection and Family,
safety through effective			Ministry of Education
rehabilitation of offenders into			
society			

de pr to co thi	Action title Claboration the concept of eveloping the probation institution	2011 IV	I		112 III	_		20)13			1	2014										Outcome	Institutions in	
de pr to co thi	eveloping the	IV	I	II	Ш						<u> </u>					20				2	016		indicators		(thousand
de pr to co thi	eveloping the			1		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
of	o contribute to the ommunity safety hrough effective ehabilitation of offenders into society																						1. Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed 2. Concept developed and approved	Ministry of Justice	
am	Develop the draft mending the regulatory ramework on probation																						Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice	
im reg	Monitoring the implementation of of the egulatory framework on robation																						1. Monitoring carried out 2. Monitoring reports prepared and distributed	Ministry of Justice	
occ pro the per pro ne inc	Development of the ccupational standard of robation counsellor and ne correlation of erformance indicators for robation activity with the ew performance indicators for the justice ector																						1. Occupational standard of probation counsellor developed 2. Performance indicators modified	Ministry of Justice	
Total are	sector																								

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.5.2. Ensuring the institutional	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		Ministry of Justice,

autonomy of the probation	2. Staffing plan revised	The year 2013	Ministry of Finance,
service	3. Probation service reorganized		Ministry of Labour, Social
			Protection and Family

									I	mplei	nenta	ation	dead	lline											Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	12			20	13			20	14			20	15			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Develop the draft amending the regulatory framework in order to transfer the probation Central Office under the direct subordination of the Ministry of Justice																						Draft amendment of the regulatory framework, developed and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice	
2	Optimization of probation bodies system																						1. Probation service optimized 2. Staffing plan revised	Ministry of Justice	

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.5.3. Ensuring continuity of	1. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted		Ministry of Justice,
individualized probation	2. Individualized treatment programs and mechanism of the beneficiaries of probation, developed and	The year 2016	Ministry of Labour, Social
process, starting with pre-	implemented	-	Protection and Family,
sentence stage and ending with	3. The training curriculum developed		courts,
post-detention support services	4. Probation counsellors, prosecutors and judges trained		local public administration
			authorities,
			National Institute of Justice

										Impl	eme	entat	ion d	eadlii	ne										Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			2	2013			2	2014			20)15			2	2016		Outcome indicators	Institutions in charge	Amount (thousand
	Develop the draft	IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	П	Ш	IV	I	П	III	IV	Ι	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III IV		<u> </u>	lei)	
1	Develop the draft amending the regulatory framework in order to ensure continuity of the individualized																						Draft amending the regulatory framework, drafted and submitted for review to the	Ministry of Justice	

probation process, Government	
starting with the pre-	
sentence stage, and	
ending with post-	
detention support	
services	
2 Developing the initial Curriculum and National	
training curriculum and the training Institute of	
continuous training program Justice	
program for probation developed	
counsellors	
3 Develop and implement a 1. The area of Ministry of	
pilot project on the implementation Justice	
psychosocial assistance at of the pilot	
pre-sentence stage project identified	
2. Pilot project	
developed and	
implemented	
4 Implementation at the 1. Assistance at Ministry of	
national level of the Justice	
provehosogial support	
program at pre-	
sentence country stage 2. Report	
stage 2. Report prepared and	
recommendatio	
ns formulated	
5 Developing individualized Programs Ministry of	
work programs for all developed Justice	
categories of beneficiaries	
of the probation service	
6 Training of probation counsellors, prosecutors 1. Number of courses Institute of	
counsellors, prosecutors and judges on application Courses Institute of conducted Justice	
of legislation regulating the	
activity of probation	
7 Creation and 1. System Ministry of	

	implementation of the electronic records-keeping system of the probation service beneficiaries (personal electronic		developed and implemented 2. Necessary equipment purchased	Justice, Center for Electronic Governance
8	case) Training probation bodies' personnel on the use of behaviour correction programs		1. Number of courses conducted 2. Number of people trained	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family, National Institute of Justice
9	Conducting a study on the appropriateness of electronic monitoring at the national level of the probation subjects		Study carried out and recommendations developed	Ministry of Justice, Center for Electronic Governance
10	Implementing a pilot project on the electronic monitoring of probation subjects		1. The area of implementation of the pilot project identified 2. The pilot project developed and implemented	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Information Technologies and Communicatio ns
Total	area funding	 		10941,1

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.5.4. Strengthening	1. The active role of probation counsellors for exploitation the partnerships between the probation service	The year 2016	Ministry of Justice
partnerships between the	and other public or private organizations, members of civil society, families and communities, promoted		
probation service and other	2. Active involvement of nongovernmental organizations in the rehabilitation and social inclusion activity		
public or private organizations,			
members of civil society,			
families and communities to			
promote social inclusion and			

rehabilitation of former		
detainees		

								I	mple	ement	tation	ı dea	dline										0.4	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011 IV	I	20 II	012 III	IV	I	1I	2013 III	IV	I	20 II)14 III	IV	I	20 II	15 III	IV	I	20 II	16 III	IV	Outcome indicators	Institutions in charge	(thousand lei)
1	Developing the communication strategy of the probation service with the public and partners																						Strategy developed and approved	Ministry of Justice	ieiy
2	Development and distribution of informational materials to the general public (brochures, posters) on the probation role in ensuring community safety																						Number of information materials produced and disseminated	Ministry of Justice	
3	Involving the media in promoting best practices in the activity of probation and the role of community and community services in achieving these practices (success stories)																						Number of articles published	Ministry of Justice	
4	Central Office of probation accession to the European Organisation for Probation (CEP)																						Membership acquired	Ministry of Justice	
Total	l area funding			<u> </u>												l				l				1	3819,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.5.5. Strengthening the system	1. Study carried out and recommendations developed		
of submission and review of	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2014	Ministry of Justice
complaints on the activity of the			
probation services and			
penitentiary system			

]	mpl	leme	entati	on d	eadli	ne										Amount
No.	Action title	2011			2012			201	3			20	14			20	15				2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I I	I	II IV	7	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a study on the procedures for resolving complaints relating to the activity of the probation services and penitentiary system																						Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	Ministry of Justice	
2	Review and improve procedures for resolving complaints relating to the work of the probation services and penitentiary system																						1. Draft normative act, developed and submitted for review to the Government 2. Number of complaints resolved	Ministry of Justice	
Total	area funding	•			•	•									•		•		•				•		42,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.5.6. Reviewing employment	1. Study carried out and recommendations developed		
policy and personnel	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2014	Ministry of Justice
recruitment system for	3. Demilitarization of penitentiary system achieved		
penitentiary institutions;			
complete demilitarization of the			
penitentiary system			

No.										Impl	emei	ntatio	n dea	ıdline	;								0.4	T 111 11	Amount
	Action title	2011		20	12			2	2013			2	014			20	015			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting a comparative																						Study carried	Ministry of	
	study on the employment																						out and	Justice	
	policy and personnel																						recommendatio		
	recruitment system in																						ns developed		
	penitentiary institutions																								
	and on the complete																								
	demilitarization of the																								
	penitentiary system																								
2	Developing drafts																						Draft	Ministry of	
	amending the regulatory																						amendments to	Justice	

framework to review the		the regulatory	
employment policies and		framework,	
personnel recruitment		developed and	
system in penitentiary		submitted for	
institutions and for the		review to the	
demilitarization of		Government	
penitentiary system			
Total area funding			42,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.5.7. Promotion and	Standards / codes of ethics developed, adopted and implemented		
implementation of ethical		The year 2014	Ministry of Justice
standards in probation services			
and penitentiary system			

]	mpl	emei	ntatio	on dea	adline	2										Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			20	13			2	014			20)15			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	П	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Developing / modification of ethics codes for the probation counsellor and employee in the penitentiary system																						Ethical codes developed / modified and approved	Ministry of Justice	
2	Creating structures responsible for observance of professional ethics and resolving complaints regarding the conduct of probation counsellors and employees of the penitentiary system																						Structures created	Ministry of Justice	
3	Promoting ethical standards in probation services and penitentiary system																						1. Ethical codes published 2. Number of trainings conducted	Ministry of Justice	
Total	area funding						-	•			_						•							•	573,8

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.5.8. Development and	1. Study carried out and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
implementation of	2. Rehabilitation and social inclusion policies reviewed and implemented	The year 2016	Ministry of Education,

rehabilitation and social	3. Mechanism for the individual planning of the punishment execution, established	_	of Labour, Social
inclusion policies of former	4. Diversification of the cognitive-behavioural personality reorientation programs; the number of	Protection	and Family
detainees, including through	beneficiaries of such programs		
individual planning of the			
punishment execution, the			
participation of detainees in			
cognitive-behavioural programs			
and creating a progressive			
regime of detention			

										Im	plem	entat	ion d	eadli	ne										Amount
No.	Action title	2011 IV	I	II	2012 III	IV	I	II	2013 III	IV	I	II	014 III	IV	I	II	15 III	IV	I	II 2	2016 III	IV	Outcome indicators	Institutions in charge	(thousand lei)
1	Conducting a comparative study on the individual planning of the punishment execution																						Study carried out and recommendatio ns developed	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family	· ·
2	Creating the mechanism for the individual planning of the punishment execution																						Draft normative acts prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice	
3	Develop and implement new cognitive-behavioural programs for detainees																						New programs developed and implemented	Ministry of Justice	
Total	area funding				1	1																		ı	362,2

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
6.5.9. Providing educational and	1. Educational and occupational activities and other social activities for detainees, developed		Ministry of Justice,
occupational activities and	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2016	Ministry of Labour, Social
other social activities for	3. Mechanisms for stimulating occupational activities, applied		Protection and Family,
detainees	4. Mechanism for monitoring the implementation of educational and occupational activities and other social		Ministry of Education
	activities, established		

										Impl	emen	tatior	dead	lline									0.4	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	12			2	013			20)14			2	2015			2	016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	П	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)

	Changing the regulatory framework in order to review and optimize the system of educational and occupational activities and other social activities provided to detainees	Draft amending the regulatory framework, drafted and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family, Ministry of Education	
	Creation and application of the mechanism for monitoring the educational and occupational activities and other social activities provided to detainees	1. Monitoring mechanism created and applied 2. Monitoring carried out 3. Monitoring reports prepared and disseminated	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family, Ministry of Education	
	area funding			56,8
	funding strategic direction6.5			16081,3
Total 1	funding pillarVI			88441,6

PILLAR VII. A well coordinated, well managed, and accountable justice sector

Specific objective: Coordination, determination and delineation of duties and responsibilities of the key actors in the justice sector, ensuring inter-sectoral dialogue

Strategic	direction 7.1. Coordinating the activities of the actors in the justice sector; strategic planning ar	nd policy develop	ment	
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions i	n charge
7.1.1. Strengthening the role of	1. Number of meetings of the National Council for the reform of the law enforcement bodies		Justice sector act	tors
the National Council for the	2. Number of documents subject to debate at the Council meetings	The year 2016		
reform of the law enforcement	3. The number of reports of the working groups for the monitoring of the pillars of the Strategy			
bodies, with a view to ensuring	4. Number of public reports of the National Council for the reform of the law enforcement bodies on			
an efficient dialogue between	Strategy implementation			
justice sector actors				
No.	Implementation deadline	Outcome	Institutions in	Amount

	Action title	2011		20	012			201	13			20)14			20	15			20	16		indicators	charge	(thousand
	rection title	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II		IV	I	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	mulcutors	chui ge	lei)
1	Changing the Regulation of the National Council for the reform of the law enforcement bodies																						Regulation changed	National Council for the reform of the law enforcement bodies	
2	Creating the secretariat for the National Council for the reform of the law enforcement bodies																						1. Secretariat established and functional 2. Composition of the secretariat determined	National Council for the reform of the law enforcement bodies	
3	Organizing regular meetings of the National Council for the reform of the law enforcement bodies																						1.Number of meetings held 2. Number of documents debated at the Council meetings 3. The number of reports of the working groups for the monitoring of the pillars of the Strategy, examined	National Council for the reform of the law enforcement bodies, Ministry of Justice	
4	Preparation and publication of reports on Strategy implementation																						1.Number of reports prepared 2. Number of reports published	National Council for the reform of the law enforcement bodies	
Total	area funding																								672,3

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
7.1.2. Creating and supporting	1. Working groups created		Ministry of Justice,
working groups under the	2. Monitoring mechanism developed and applied	The year 2016	relevant justice sector actors
Ministry of Justice to	3. Members of working groups, trained	-	

coordinate and monitor the	
implementation of each pillar of	
the Strategy	

										Im	plem	entat	ion d	eadlii	ne								0.4	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011 IV			2012 III	IV	-		013 III	IV	I)14 III	IV	Ţ)15 III	IV			016 III	IV	Outcome indicators	Institutions in charge	(thousand
		IV	I	II	111	IV	ı	II	111	IV	1	II	1111	IV	1	II	1111	IV	I	II	1111	IV		chui ge	lei)
1	Establishment of working groups for monitoring the implementation of each pillar of the Strategy																						1. Seven working groups established 2. Working groups' Chairs elected / appointed	Ministry of Justice	
2	Creation of the Strategy implementation Steering Group																						Strategy implementation Steering Group created	Ministry of Justice	
3	Development and approval of work regulation of the working groups and Strategy implementation Steering Group																						Work regulation of the working groups and Strategy implementation Steering Group, developed and approved	Ministry of Justice	
4	Develop and approve the methodology for implementing and monitoring the Strategy																						Methodology developed and approved	Ministry of Justice	
5	Training members of the working groups on the methodology of implementation of the Strategy																						1. Number of courses organized 2. Number of members of working groups trained	Ministry of Justice	
Total	area funding																								240,6

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
7.1.3. Ministry of Justice	1. Analysis of functions and structure of the Ministry of Justice, carried out		Ministry of Justice,

capacity building to interact	2. Draft normative acts developed and adopted	The year 2014	State Chancellery
with actors in the justice sector,	3. Regulation, organization chart and staffing plan of the Ministry of Justice, revised		
including through reorganizing	4. Internal incentive systems applied		
the structure in charge with	5. Personnel trained		
strategic planning and			
monitoring in the Ministry of			!
Justice			

									Iı	mpler	nenta	tion	dea	dline	;								0.4	Tanki dia ani	Amount
No.	Action title	2011 IV	ī	20 II)12 III	IV	ī	20 II	13 III	IV	ī	20: II	14 III	IV	T)15 III	IV	ī	20 II	16 III	IV	Outcome indicators	Institutions in charge	(thousand
1	Analysis of functions and structure of the Ministry of Justice	TV .	1			IV.	1	11	III	IV.	1	11		IV.		"		IV .	1	"	111	17	Analysis carried out and recommendations developed	Ministry of Justice	lei)
2	Changing the Regulations of the Ministry of Justice																						Draft normative act, prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice	
3	Changing the organizational chart and reviewing the staffing plan of the Ministry of Justice (reorganizing the structure of the institution, including the allocation of new features to the analysis, monitoring and policy evaluation Division)																						1. Organisation chart modified 2. Staffing plan revised 3. Structure reorganized	Ministry of Justice	
4	Implementation of the Ministry of Justice staff performance evaluation system and, based on it, creating an incentive system																						1. Performance evaluation system, implemented 2. Incentive system for staff, created and applied 3. Budget line	Ministry of Justice, State Chancellery	

5	Ministry of Justice staff training plan, based on its performance evaluation							for expenses on the incentive system for staff, established 1. System for planning staff training, determined 2. Personnel training plans	Ministry of Justice	
6	Organizing training courses for staff of the Ministry of Justice, based on training plans developed							1. Number of courses organized 2. Number of staff trained	Ministry of Justice, State Chancellery	
7	Ensuring adequate working conditions for staff of the Ministry of Justice to streamline its activity							1. Computer technology, purchased and installed 2. The number of working spaces, renovated and equipped	Ministry of Justice	
Total	 area funding							technically		1675,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
7.1.4. Capacity building of each	1. Analysis of functions and structure of institutions, carried out		Ministry of Justice,
institution involved in the	2. Internal rules of operation of institutions, modified	The year 2016	justice sector actors
justice sector reform to	3. The staff of institutions, trained		
participate in the reform			
process			

										Im	plem	entat	ion dea	adline	e								0.4	T 4.4 4.5 1.	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		- 2	2012			2	2013			2	2014			2(15			20	16		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Analysis of functions and structure of each institution involved in the																						Analysis conducted and recommendatio	Justice sector institutions	

	justice sector reform					l I	I		ng dayalanad		
_	J.						-		ns developed	.	
2	Develop and implement								1. Structural and	Justice sector	
	structural and functional								functional	institutions	
	changes of the institutions								changes of		
	involved in the justice								institutions,		
	reform, for their active								developed		
	participation in the reform								2. Number of		
	process								institutions that		
	process								have		
									implemented		
									structural and		
									functional		
									changes		
3	Training the staff of								 Number of 	Justice sector	
	institutions involved in the								training courses	institutions	
	justice sector reform to								conducted		
	ensure its active								2. Number of		
1	participation in								people trained		
									people trailled		
L	implementing the reform										
Tota	area funding										2396,1

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
7.1.5. Creating conditions for	1. Persons in charge of strategic planning and monitoring, designated and trained		Ministry of Justice,
the continued collaboration	2. Regular joint meetings organized and conducted	The year 2016	justice sector actors
between representatives of the			
units in charge of strategic			
planning and monitoring of			
justice sector institutions			

									Iı	mplei	nenta	ation	dead	line									0.4	T 111 11	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20)12			2	013			20)14			20)15			2	016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	П	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Appointment of persons or units in charge of strategic planning and monitoring the reform of the justice sector institutions																						Persons / units in charge of strategic planning and monitoring, appointed / designated	Justice sector institutions	
2	Organizing regular joint																						Number of joint	Justice sector	

	meetings of the representatives of justice sector institutions on reform strategic planning			meetings held	institutions	
3	and monitoring Training the personnel in charge of strategic planning and monitoring the reform of the justice sector institutions			1. Number of courses organized 2. Number of people trained	Ministry of Justice	
Total	area funding					622,9

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
7.1.6. Creating and maintaining	The system for collection, analysis and mutual exchange of information between key justice sector		Ministry of Justice,
a system for collection, analysis	institutions, created and functional	The year 2016	justice sector actors
and exchange of information			
between key justice sector			
institutions			

No.									I	mple	menta	ation	dead	line									Outcome	Institutions in	Amount
	Action title	2011		20	012			20)13			20)14			20)15			2	2016		indicators	charge	(thousand lei)
		IV	I	П	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV			
1	Design and implementation of an integrated information system for collection, analysis and exchange of information on implementing the reform																						Information system designed and built	Ministry of Justice	
2	Training of personnel which will use the integrated information system for the collection, analysis and exchange of information on implementing the reform																						1.Number of training courses organized 2.Number of people trained	Ministry of Justice	
Total	area funding	•			1												•			•					426,8
Total	funding strategic direction	7.1		<u> </u>				<u> </u>			·		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>							6033,8

Strategic	direction 7.2. Approximation of the institutional and legal framework of the justice sector to the	e European stand	lards
Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
7.2.1. Evaluation and	External assessments conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Education,
improvement of higher legal	2. Teaching personnel trained	The year 2016	Ministry of Internal Affairs,
education in the Republic of	3. Higher legal education program modified, unified and applied		Intelligence and Security
Moldova in accordance with			Service,
good European practices and			higher education institutions
Bologna principles, including			
ensuring the uniformity of the			
university program of the law			
faculties			

									Iı	mplei	ment	ation	dead	lline									_		Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			2	013			20	014			2	015			2	2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conducting external evaluations of the quality of higher legal education in the Republic of Moldova in accordance with good European practices and Bologna principles																						1.External evaluation methodology, developed and approved 2. External evaluations conducted 3. Evaluation reports prepared under external evaluation methodology 4. Conclusions and recommendatio ns based on external assessments, formulated	Ministry of Education, higher education institutions	
2	Updating programs and including new methods of teaching in higher legal																						1. Number of updated study programs for	Ministry of Education, higher	

	education in accordance with good European practices and Bologna principles, including ensuring the uniformity of university programs of the law faculties	higher legal education 2. New teaching methods, introduced 3. Number of teaching personnel trained	education institutions	
3	National evaluations of the quality of legal education (other than the university one) in the Republic of Moldova in accordance with good European practices	1. National evaluation methodology, developed and approved 2. National assessments conducted 3. Self- evaluation reports on the national assessment methodology, developed 4. Conclusions and recommendatio ns developed based on national assessments	Ministry of Education, Ministry of Internal Affairs, Intelligence and Security Service	
4	Updating programs and including new methods of teaching in legal education (other than the university one) in accordance with good European practices	1. Number of study programs for the specialized legal education, updated 2. New teaching methods introduced 3. Number of teaching	Ministry of Internal Affairs, Intelligence and Security Service	

											personnel trained	
Total	area funding											1124,7

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
7.2.2. Improving lawmaking	1. Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
process to ensure stability,	2. Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted	The year 2014	State Chancellery
predictability and clarity of	3. Ex-ante analysis effectively implemented		
legislative acts	4. Personnel involved in the lawmaking process, trained		

									Iı	mpleı	nenta	tion	dead	line									0.4	T4*4 . 4* *	Amount
No.	Action title	2011 IV	I	II	012 III	IV	I	20 II	III	IV	I	20 II	114 III	IV	I	20 II	15 III	IV	I	II	2016 III	IV	Outcome indicators	Institutions in charge	(thousand lei)
1	Conduct a study on improving the lawmaking process																						1. Study developed, problems identified 2. Recommendatio ns developed	Ministry of Justice	
2	Develop a draft amendment to Law no. 780-XV of 27 December 2001 on legislative acts and the Law. 317-XV of 18 July 2003 on the regulations of the Government and other authorities of central and local government to ensure stability, predictability and clarity of legislative acts																						Bill prepared and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice	
3	Developing the regulatory framework for ex-ante evaluation methodology																						Normative framework developed and submitted for review to the Government	Ministry of Justice, State Chancellery	
4	Producing a manual on drafting of normative acts																						1. Working group created	Ministry of Justice	

|--|

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
7.2.3. Increasing public access	1.Study conducted and recommendations developed		Ministry of Justice,
the normative acts (database)	2. Database of normative acts reviewed and accessible	The year 2014	Center for Electronic
		-	Governance

									Iı	mple	menta	ation	dead	lline									0.1	T	Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	12			2()13			2	014			20	015			2	2016		Outcome	Institutions in	(thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	Ι	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators	charge	lei)
1	Conduct a study on public accessibility of the normative acts (database)																						Study and recommendatio ns developed	Ministry of Justice, Center for Electronic Governance	
2	Optimizing database of normative acts																						Database updated with a functional search engine	Ministry of Justice, Center for Electronic Governance	
3	Create an online database on the process of drafting normative acts (from the design stage up to the published document)																						1.Database created and operational 2. Number of trained staff involved in the drafting of normative acts	Ministry of Justice, Center for Electronic Governance	

Total area funding 114,0

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
7.2.4. Improving the process of approximation of the national legislation to the EU legislation	Study conducted and recommendations developed Draft amendment of the regulatory framework developed and adopted Institutional capacities of the Centre for legal approximation, consolidated Personnel involved in the process of approximation of the national legislation to the EU legislation, trained	The year 2014	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Foreign Affairs and European Integration, State Chancellery

									I	mple	ment	ation	dead	lline									0.4	T 1.1 1.	Amount
No.	Action title	2011 IV	I	20 II	012 III	IV	I	20 II)13 III	IV	I	II 2	014 III	IV	I	2 II	015 III	IV	I	II	2016 III	IV	Outcome indicators	Institutions in charge	(thousand lei)
1	Conduct a study on improving the approximation of the national legislation to the EU legislation																						1. The study developed and problems identified 2. Recommendatio	Ministry of Justice	leij
2	Develop the draft amending Government decisions no.190 of February 21, 2007 on the creation of the Center for legal approximation and no. 1345 of 24 November 2006 on the approximation of Moldovan legislation with the Community																						ns developed Draft regulation prepared and submitted to the Government	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Foreign Affairs and European Integration, State Chancellery	
3	Reforming the structure of Center for legal approximation																						1.The new structure of the Center for legal approximation, approved 2. The specialization system for the Center for legal approximation	Ministry of Justice	

							personnel, established		
4	Training of staff involved in the process of approximating the national legislation to the EU legislation						1. The level of knowledge in the field and training needs assessed 2. Curriculum and training plan developed 3. Number of courses conducted 4. Number of staff trained	Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Foreign Affairs and European Integration, State Chancellery	
Total	area funding								2233,2
Total	funding strategic direction 7.2							_	9308,7

	Strategic	direc	tion	7.3.	Coor	dina	tion	of ex	xtern	al do	nor	assis	stanc	e and	l inf	orm	atio	on e	xch	ang	e wi	th th	ie no	ngovernmental s	ector	
Sp	ecific intervention area								In	nplen	ienta	tion	miles	tones										Deadline	Institutions	in charge
7.3.1.	Establish and maintain a	1. Me	chan	ism f	or co	opera	tion v	with f	oreigi	n don	ors es	tabli	shed											The year 2016	Ministry o	f Justice
mech	anism for cooperation with	2. Re	gular	meet	ings	with 1	foreig	gn dor	nors o	rgani	zed a	nd co	nduc	ted												
foreig	n donors in the justice		_		_					_																
	with the view to																									
imple	menting the Strategy																									
									I	mple	ment	ation	dead	lline												Amount
No.	Action title	2011		20	012			2	013			2	014			20	015				20	16		Outcome	Institutions	(thousand
1,0.		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	П	III	IV	I	II	II	I I	V	I	II	III	IV	indicators	in charge	lei)
1	Optimization of the current mechanism for coordinating external donor assistance by its correlation with the mechanism coordinating the implementation of the Strategy																							1. Mechanism for coordinating external donor assistance, optimized 2. Number of regular meetings conducted	Ministry of Justice, State Chancellery	
2	Regular elaboration of lists of priorities that require																							Lists of priorities	Ministry of Justice	-

	external assistance										elaborated	
Total	area funding											49,5

Specific intervention area	Implementation milestones	Deadline	Institutions in charge
7.3.2. Creating a framework for	1. The necessary framework for the exchange of information, created and implemented		
exchange of information	2. Regular meetings between representatives of the nongovernmental sector and justice sector actors,	The year 2016	Ministry of Justice,
between nongovernmental	organized and conducted		justice sector actors
sector and justice sector actors			
for the implementation of the			
Strategy			

									I	mplei	ment	ation	ı dead	lline											Amount
No.	Action title	2011		2012				20	013		2014				2015				2016				Outcome Instituti	((thousand
		IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	indicators charg	ge `	lei)
1	Creating a mechanism that would ensure constant exchange of information between nongovernmental sector and justice sector representatives on the Strategy implementation activities																						1. Mechanism created and functional 2. Composition of the Secretariat established Ministry Justice		
2	Organizing regular meetings between nongovernmental representatives and representatives of the justice sector																						Number of regular meetings conducted Ministry Justice	of	
Total	area funding																								245,4
Total	funding strategic direction7	7.3																							295,0
Total	funding pillarVII																								15637,5
Total funding for the Action Plan for the implementation of the Strategy												1	1988911,9												